Treatment of Non-Metastatic Muscle-Invasive Bladder Cancer: AUA/ASCO/ASTRO/SUO Guideline


From the American Urological Association Education and Research, Inc., Linthicum, Maryland; American Society of Clinical Oncology, Alexandria, Virginia; American Society for Radiation Oncology, Arlington, Virginia; and Society of Urologic Oncology, Inc., Schaumburg, Illinois

Purpose: This multidisciplinary, evidence-based guideline for clinically non-metastatic muscle-invasive bladder cancer focuses on the evaluation, treatment and surveillance of muscle-invasive bladder cancer guided toward curative intent.

Materials and Methods: A systematic review utilizing research from the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality as well as additional supplementation by the authors and consultant methodologists was used to develop the guideline. Evidence-based statements were based on body of evidence strengths Grade A, B or C and were designated as Strong, Moderate and Conditional Recommendations with additional statements presented in the form of Clinical Principles or Expert Opinions.

Results: For the first time for any type of malignancy, the American Urological Association, American Society of Clinical Oncology, American Society for Radiation Oncology and Society of Urologic Oncology have formulated an evidence-based guideline based on a risk-stratified clinical framework for the management of muscle-invasive urothelial bladder cancer. This document is designed to be used in conjunction with the associated treatment algorithm.

Conclusions: The intensity and scope of care for muscle-invasive bladder cancer should focus on the patient, disease and treatment response characteristics. This guideline attempts to improve a clinician’s ability to evaluate and treat each patient, but higher quality evidence in future trials will be essential to improve level of care for these patients.
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INTRODUCTION

Epidemiology
There are 79,030 new cases of bladder cancer and 16,870 bladder cancer deaths predicted for 2017 in the United States.1 Approximately 25% of newly diagnosed patients have muscle-invasive disease,2,3 a rate that has not changed over the last 10 years based on data from the Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results registry.4

Etiology
Smoking tobacco remains the most important and common risk factor for
bladder cancer and is estimated to contribute to the development of 50% of bladder tumors. Other well-documented risk factors include occupational exposure to carcinogens (e.g., aromatic amines, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, chlorinated hydrocarbons), pelvic radiation for other malignancies, exposure to *S. haematobium* infection and genetic predisposition.

**GUIDELINE STATEMENTS**

Evidence-based statements were based on body of evidence strengths Grade A, B or C and were designated as Strong, Moderate and Conditional Recommendations with additional statements presented in the form of Clinical Principles or Expert Opinions. (see table).

**Initial Patient Evaluation and Counseling**

1. Prior to treatment consideration, a full history and physical exam should be performed, including an exam under anesthesia at the time of transurethral resection of bladder tumor for a suspected invasive cancer. (Clinical Principle)

2. Prior to muscle-invasive bladder cancer management, clinicians should perform a complete staging evaluation, including imaging of the chest and cross-sectional imaging of the abdomen and pelvis with intravenous contrast if not contraindicated. Laboratory evaluation should include a comprehensive metabolic panel (complete blood count, liver function tests, alkaline phosphatase and renal function). (Clinical Principle)

3. An experienced genitourinary pathologist should review the pathology of a patient when variant histology is suspected or if muscle invasion is equivocal (e.g., micro-papillary, nested, plasmacytoid, neuroendocrine, sarcomatoid, extensive squamous or glandular differentiation). (Clinical Principle)

4. For patients with newly diagnosed MIBC, curative treatment options should be discussed before determining a plan of therapy that is based on both patient comorbidity and tumor characteristics. Patient evaluation should be completed using a multidisciplinary approach. (Clinical Principle)

---

**Table. AUA nomenclature linking statement type to level of certainty, magnitude of benefit or risk/burden, and body of evidence strength**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strong Recommendation</th>
<th>Moderate Recommendation</th>
<th>Conditional Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benefits &gt; Risks/Burdens (or vice versa)</td>
<td>Benefits &gt; Risks/Burdens (or vice versa)</td>
<td>Benefits = Risks/Burdens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Net benefit or harm substantial)</td>
<td>Net benefit (or net harm) is moderate</td>
<td>Benefits &gt; Risks/Burdens (or vice versa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applies to most patients in most circumstances and future research is unlikely to change confidence</td>
<td>Applies to most patients in most circumstances and future research is unlikely to change confidence</td>
<td>(No apparent net benefit or harm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefits &gt; Risks/Burdens (or vice versa)</td>
<td>Benefits &gt; Risks/Burdens (or vice versa)</td>
<td>Benefits = Risks/Burdens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net benefit (or net harm) is moderate</td>
<td>Net benefit (or net harm) is moderate</td>
<td>Benefits &gt; Risks/Burdens (or vice versa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applies to most patients in most circumstances and future research is unlikely to change confidence</td>
<td>Applies to most patients in most circumstances and future research is unlikely to change confidence</td>
<td>Benefits = Risks/Burdens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefits = Risks/Burdens</td>
<td>Benefits = Risks/Burdens</td>
<td>Balance between Benefits &amp; Risks/Burdens unclear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical Principle</td>
<td>Clinical Principle</td>
<td>Clinical Principle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A statement about a component of clinical care that is widely agreed upon by urologists or other clinicians for which there may or may not be evidence in the medical literature</td>
<td>A statement about a component of clinical care that is widely agreed upon by urologists or other clinicians for which there may or may not be evidence in the medical literature</td>
<td>A statement about a component of clinical care that is widely agreed upon by urologists or other clinicians for which there may or may not be evidence in the medical literature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expert Opinion</td>
<td>Expert Opinion</td>
<td>Expert Opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A statement, achieved by consensus of the Panel, that is based on members’ clinical training, experience, knowledge, and judgment for which there is no evidence</td>
<td>A statement, achieved by consensus of the Panel, that is based on members’ clinical training, experience, knowledge, and judgment for which there is no evidence</td>
<td>A statement, achieved by consensus of the Panel, that is based on members’ clinical training, experience, knowledge, and judgment for which there is no evidence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. Prior to treatment, clinicians should counsel patients regarding complications and the implications of treatment on quality of life (e.g., impact on continence, sexual function, fertility, bowel dysfunction, metabolic problems). (Clinical Principle)

Following the pretreatment evaluation, the patient should be engaged in a shared decision making process when determining a treatment plan that involves a multidisciplinary discussion of the role and impact of surgery, chemotherapy and radiotherapy.

A thorough history and physical exam are important in evaluating not only bladder cancer risk but also the overall health of the patient and his or her comorbidities. This examination in conjunction with appropriate imaging will help to determine optimal management and may impact both the readiness for surgery and the type of procedure or urinary diversion that is best suited for the patient.9,10 This information contributes to the overall determination of clinical stage and assessment of potential benefit of neoadjuvant chemotherapy.11,12

TREATMENT

Neoadjuvant/Adjuvant Chemotherapy

6. Utilizing a multidisciplinary approach, clinicians should offer cisplatin-based NAC to eligible radical cystectomy patients prior to cystectomy. (Strong Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade B)

7. Clinicians should not prescribe carboplatin-based NAC for clinically resectable stage cT2-T4aN0 bladder cancer. Patients ineligible for cisplatin-based NAC should proceed to definitive locoregional therapy. (Expert Opinion)

8. Clinicians should perform radical cystectomy as soon as possible following a patient's completion of and recovery from NAC. (Expert Opinion)

9. Eligible patients who have not received cisplatin-based NAC and have non-organ confined (pT3/T4 and/or N+) disease at cystectomy should be offered adjuvant cisplatin-based chemotherapy. (Moderate Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade C)

Cisplatin eligibility is a major determinant of candidacy for NAC. Toxicities of cisplatin, including nephrotoxicity, diminished cardiac function, neurotoxicity and hearing loss, preclude 30-50% of MIBC patients from safe receipt of cisplatin-based chemotherapy.13 When choosing to pursue treatment with cisplatin-based NAC, clinicians should note the following:

- There are no validated predictive factors or clinical characteristics associated with an increased or decreased probability of response and benefit using cisplatin-based NAC.
- The best regimen and duration for cisplatin-based NAC remain undefined.
- The decision regarding eligibility for cisplatin-based NAC should be based on comorbidities and performance status.

There are insufficient data to recommend non-cisplatin-based regimens as either NAC or adjuvant chemotherapy for MIBC. Although some suggestive cohort and clinical trial data exist,14 there is no high level evidence that carboplatin-based regimens lead to increased survival in this setting for MIBC.

The Panel advocates that cisplatin-eligible patients with high-risk pathologic features who do not receive NAC be offered AC following radical cystectomy on the basis of a multidisciplinary consultation with a thorough informed consent. No single randomized clinical trial has demonstrated a significant improvement in overall survival with AC; however, meta-analyses have suggested a possible benefit, albeit based on data of variable quality.15,16 In patients who are non-cisplatin-eligible, consideration of referral to a clinical trial is reasonable.

Radical Cystectomy

10. Clinicians should offer radical cystectomy with bilateral pelvic lymphadenectomy for surgically eligible patients with resectable non-metastatic (M0) MIBC. (Strong Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade B).

11. When performing a standard radical cystectomy, clinicians should remove the bladder, prostate and seminal vesicles in males, and should remove the bladder, uterus, fallopian tubes, ovaries and anterior vaginal wall in females. (Clinical Principle)

12. Clinicians should discuss and consider sexual function preserving procedures for patients with organ-confined disease and absence of bladder neck, urethra and prostate (male) involvement. (Moderate Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade C)

For non-metastatic MIBC, radical cystectomy combined with NAC is the standard treatment.17 Preservation of sexual function may be feasible in patients undergoing radical cystectomy. For all patients who desire sexual function preservation, a nerve-sparing procedure should be discussed and offered as long as it will not compromise oncologic control.18

Patients considering a radical cystectomy should be counseled regarding the high rate of complications, both acute and chronic.19,20
particularly critical given that patients undergoing cystectomy are usually older and have multiple comorbid conditions.

**Urinary Diversion**

13. For patients undergoing radical cystectomy, ileal conduit, continent cutaneous and orthotopic neobladder urinary diversions should all be discussed. (Clinical Principle)

14. For patients receiving an orthotopic urinary diversion, clinicians must verify a negative urethral margin. (Clinical Principle)

The choice of urinary diversion has a significant impact on long-term quality of life for patients who undergo radical cystectomy, and each type of diversion is associated with its own unique potential complications. Discussing the pros and cons of each approach is an important component of preoperative education. The Panel emphasized that clinicians should first determine whether or not a patient is a candidate for each of the diversion options, and patients should be counseled regarding all three categories of urinary diversion, if not contraindicated.

**Perioperative Surgical Management**

15. Clinicians should attempt to optimize patient performance status in the perioperative setting. (Expert Opinion)

16. Perioperative pharmacologic thromboembolic prophylaxis should be given to patients undergoing radical cystectomy. (Strong Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade B)

17. In patients undergoing radical cystectomy, ρ-opioid antagonist therapy should be used to accelerate gastrointestinal recovery, unless contraindicated. (Strong Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade B)

18. Patients should receive detailed teaching regarding care of urinary diversion prior to discharge from the hospital (Clinical Principle).

Given the significant risk of morbidity and significant recovery time associated with radical cystectomy, the Panel recommends perioperative patient optimization. While a specific enhanced recovery after surgery protocol was not recommended, there are a number of important components that should be considered for any patient undergoing radical cystectomy. Overall, utilization of clinical pathways is associated with decreased narcotic usage, lower incidence of postoperative ileus and shorter hospital length of stay.

**Pelvic Lymphadenectomy**

19. Clinicians must perform a bilateral pelvic lymphadenectomy at the time of any surgery with curative intent. (Strong Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade B)

20. When performing bilateral pelvic lymphadenectomy, clinicians should remove, at a minimum, the external and internal iliac and obturator lymph nodes (standard lymphadenectomy). (Clinical Principle)

Mapping studies from patients with invasive bladder cancer have documented the pathways of progression of invasive bladder cancer. Sequential dissemination from the lower pelvic to the more proximal lymph nodes in the pelvis and retroperitoneum is the general pattern of spread, and the risk of regional lymph node metastases is associated with the depth of invasion of the primary tumor. Data from a variety of studies have shown that a pelvic lymphadenectomy can improve disease specific survival and pelvic recurrence risk compared to no pelvic lymphadenectomy at the time of radical cystectomy.

**Bladder Preserving Approaches**

**Patient Selection.** 21. For patients with newly diagnosed non-metastatic MIBC who desire to retain their bladder and for those with significant comorbidities for whom radical cystectomy is not a treatment option, clinicians should offer bladder preserving therapy when clinically appropriate. (Clinical Principle)

22. In patients under consideration for bladder preserving therapy, maximal debulking TURBT and assessment of multifocal disease/carcinoma in situ should be performed. (Strong Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade C)

A multi-modal bladder preserving approach with its merits and disadvantages should be discussed in each individual case. The studies that evaluate curative bladder preserving strategies, as a general rule, have highly select patient populations. The Panel found no strong evidence to determine whether or not immediate cystectomy improved survival when compared to initial bladder sparing protocols that employ salvage cystectomy as therapy for persistent bladder cancer.

**Maximal TURBT and Partial Cystectomy.** 23. Patients with MIBC who are medically fit and consent to radical cystectomy should not undergo partial cystectomy or maximal TURBT as primary curative therapy. (Moderate Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade C)

Although to date there are no randomized head-to-head trials, radical cystectomy offers a significant therapeutic benefit for the vast majority of patients compared to partial cystectomy or maximal TURBT. With the exception of multi-modal bladder preserving regimens that include maximal TURBT, chemotherapy and radiation therapy, therapies
other than radical cystectomy (e.g., partial cystectomy, TURBT alone, chemotherapy alone or radiation alone) are associated with increased risk of all-cause mortality in unadjusted analyses.29–31

**Primary Radiation Therapy.** 24. For patients with MIBC, clinicians should not offer radiation therapy alone as a curative treatment. (Strong Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade C)

Radiation therapy alone has been associated with high rates of pelvic failure; five-year local control rates of approximately 30-50% have been reported, but these may be underestimates as those who develop metastatic disease within this interval are less likely to undergo continued bladder surveillance.32–37

**Multi-modal Bladder Preserving Therapy.** 25. For patients with MIBC who have elected multi-modal bladder preserving therapy, clinicians should offer maximal TURBT, chemotherapy combined with external beam radiation therapy and planned cystoscopic reevaluation. (Strong Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade B)

26. Radiation sensitizing chemotherapy regimens should include cisplatin or 5-fluorouracil and mitomycin C. (Strong Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade B.)

27. Following completion of bladder preserving therapy, the clinician should perform regular surveillance with CT scans, cystoscopy and urine cytology. (Strong Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade C)

The Panel believes that multi-modal bladder preserving therapy is the preferred treatment for those patients who desire bladder preservation and understand the unique risks associated with this approach and/or those who are medically unfit for surgery.

The rationale for combining TURBT, concurrent chemotherapy and external beam radiation therapy is twofold. Certain cytotoxic agents may sensitize tumor cells to radiation, thus increasing cell kill in a synergistic fashion. In addition, up to 50% of those with MIBC may harbor occult metastases. The addition of systemic chemotherapy has the potential to improve loco-regional control, and incorporating cisplatin-based multi-agent regimens in the neoadjuvant setting may provide additional benefit for control of occult metastatic disease at an early stage.

For patients receiving staged multi-modal therapy who are otherwise surgical candidates, clinicians should offer a mid-course evaluation to allow for the early selection of non-responders before consolidation radiotherapy is given. For patients who are medically unfit for surgery, this mid-course evaluation may be omitted, and these patients can be treated uninterrupted with a definitive dose of radiation along with concurrent chemotherapy.

Those who are biopsy-proven complete responders to bladder preserving protocols remain at risk for both invasive and non-invasive recurrences as well as new tumors in the upper tracts. Recurrences may be successfully managed by prompt salvage therapy, (e.g. radical cystectomy). Although there is no direct evidence to determine optimal frequency of surveillance, most bladder preserving protocols encourage careful follow-up.

**Bladder Preserving Treatment Failure.** 28. For patients who are medically fit and have residual or recurrent muscle-invasive disease following bladder preserving therapy, clinicians should offer radical cystectomy with bilateral pelvic lymphadenectomy (Strong Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade C).

29. For patients who have a non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer recurrence after bladder preserving therapy, clinicians may offer either local measures, such as TURBT with intravesical therapy or radical cystectomy with bilateral pelvic lymphadenectomy. (Moderate Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade C)

Approximately 30% of those selected for treatment by multi-modal bladder preserving therapy will have an invasive bladder recurrence.38 For patients who remain surgical candidates, cystectomy should be offered as a salvage procedure. While there is no direct evidence demonstrating the value of salvage cystectomy, the relatively high survival rates achieved in bladder preserving series are likely, in part, due to the use of close surveillance and the use of early salvage cystectomy for patients with invasive disease. The presence of NMIBC relapse predicts an increased likelihood for further future relapses, including both NMIBC and MIBC recurrences.39

**Patient Surveillance and Follow-Up Imaging.** 30. Clinicians should obtain chest imaging and cross-sectional imaging of the abdomen and pelvis with CT or MRI at 6-12 month intervals for 2-3 years and then may continue annually. (Expert Opinion)

The Panel recommends chest imaging and cross-sectional imaging preferably with intravenous contrast and delayed images to evaluate the upper tracts and also other sites for disease recurrence. Radiographic evaluation of the abdomen and pelvis is important for 1) detection of upper tract cancer; 2) disease detection in the most common sites of recurrence, progression and metastasis, including the pelvis and retroperitoneum, liver, lungs and bones; and 3) urinary diversion concerns like hydronephrosis.
Laboratory Values and Urine Markers. 31. Following therapy for MIBC, patients should undergo laboratory assessment at 3-6 month intervals for 2-3 years and then annually thereafter. (Expert Opinion)

32. Following radical cystectomy in patients with a retained urethra, clinicians should monitor the urethral remnant for recurrence. (Expert Opinion)

Following cystectomy and urinary diversion, all patients should undergo assessment of electrolytes and renal function. At follow-up, vitamin B12 levels should be assessed as there is an increased risk of deficiency and consequent neurological damage in patients with resection of >60 cm of ileum and in those patients in whom the terminal ileum is utilized. Routine frequent complete blood count and liver function testing for cancer surveillance have not been validated. In addition, patients should undergo physical examination of the urethra and discussion of any urethral symptoms such as urethral discharge or spotting.

Patient Survivorship. 33. Clinicians should discuss with patients how they are coping with their bladder cancer diagnosis and treatment, and should recommend that patients consider participating in a cancer support group or consider receiving individual counseling. (Expert Opinion).

34. Clinicians should encourage bladder cancer patients to adopt healthy lifestyle habits, including smoking cessation, exercise and a healthy diet to improve long-term health and quality of life. (Expert Opinion).

Over the last 25 years there has been extensive research on the positive effects of support groups as a method of coping with cancer and improving quality of life. In addition to providing emotional support, clinicians should encourage patients to

![Figure. Non-metastatic muscle-invasive bladder cancer treatment algorithm](image-url)
follow an overall healthy lifestyle. Cancer survivors have special health needs, in part because of the risks of the late effects of cancer recurrence.

**VARIANT HISTOLOGY**

35. For patients diagnosed with variant histology, clinicians should consider unique clinical characteristics that may require divergence from standard evaluation and management for urothelial carcinoma. (Expert Opinion)

As variant histologies become recognized, the most appropriate care and evaluation may also become better understood as well as increasingly defined. Importantly, treatment recommendations previously outlined may NOT apply to these patients who represent a small but significant number.

**FUTURE RESEARCH**

Several key areas of future research need emphasis to improve clinical care and provide a path to better patient outcomes with invasive bladder cancer with a particular focus on detection and markers, genetic evaluation and characterization, improved systemic therapy, and appropriate and accurate surveillance.

**CONCLUSIONS**

These guidelines serve as the first multidisciplinary constructed guidelines for a genitourinary malignancy, and represent the best available evidence for the management of MIBC. In addition, the guidelines present a framework for counseling patients regarding the management of MIBC. A comprehensive treatment algorithm summarizes the principles discussed in this document (see figure).

**DISCLAIMER**

This document was written by the Muscle-Invasive Bladder Cancer Guideline Panel of the American Urological Association Education and Research, Inc., which was created in 2015. The Practice Guidelines Committee (PGC) of the AUA selected the committee chair. Panel members were selected by the chair. Membership of the Panel included specialists in urology/medical oncology/radiation oncology with specific expertise on this disorder. The mission of the Panel was to develop recommendations that are analysis-based or consensus-based, depending on Panel processes and available data, for optimal clinical practices in the treatment of muscle-invasive bladder cancer.

Funding of the Panel was provided by the AUA. Panel members received no remuneration for their work. Each member of the Panel provides an ongoing conflict of interest disclosure to the AUA.

While these guidelines do not necessarily establish the standard of care, AUA seeks to recommend and to encourage compliance by practitioners with current best practices related to the condition being treated. As medical knowledge expands and technology advances, the guidelines will change. Today these evidence-based guidelines statements represent not absolute mandates but provisional proposals for treatment under the specific conditions described in each document. For all these reasons, the guidelines do not preempt physician judgment in individual cases.

Treating physicians must take into account variations in resources, and patient tolerances, needs, and preferences. Conformance with any clinical guideline does not guarantee a successful outcome. The guideline text may include information or recommendations about certain drug uses ("off label") that are not approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), or about medications or substances not subject to the FDA approval process. AUA urges strict compliance with all government regulations and protocols for prescription and use of these substances. The physician is encouraged to carefully follow all available prescribing information about indications, contraindications, precautions and warnings. These guidelines and best practice statements are not intended to provide legal advice about use and misuse of these substances.

Although guidelines are intended to encourage best practices and potentially encompass available technologies with sufficient data as of close of the literature review, they are necessarily time-limited. Guidelines cannot include evaluation of all data on emerging technologies or management, including those that are FDA-approved, which may immediately come to represent accepted clinical practices.

For this reason, the AUA does not regard technologies or management which are too new to be addressed by this guideline as necessarily experimental or investigational.
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