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**Purpose:** Testis cancer is the most common solid malignancy in young males. The purpose of this guideline is to provide a useful reference on the effective evidence-based treatment of early stage testicular cancer.

**Methods:** The systematic review utilized to inform this guideline was conducted by a methodology team at the Johns Hopkins University Evidence-based Practice Center. The methodology team searched using PubMed®, Embase®, and the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) from January 1980 through August 2018. The evidence review team also reviewed relevant systematic reviews and references provided by the panel to identify articles that may have been missed by the database searches.

**Results:** When sufficient evidence existed, the body of evidence was assigned a strength rating of A (high), B (moderate), or C (low). Such evidence-based statements are provided as Strong, Moderate, or Conditional Recommendations. In instances of insufficient evidence, additional guidance is provided as Clinical Principles and Expert Opinions.

**Conclusions:** This guideline attempts to improve a clinician’s ability to evaluate and treat patients with testicular cancer, but higher quality evidence in future trials will be essential to improve level of care for these patients.
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**PURPOSE**

The majority of men with testis cancer have low-stage disease. A priority for those patients with low-stage disease is limiting the burden of therapy and treatment-related toxicity without compromising cancer control. Testis cancer is a relatively rare malignancy, and outcomes are defined by specific cancer- and patient-related factors. Paradigms for management have undergone substantial change in recent years as evidence regarding risk stratification, recurrence, survival, and treatment-related toxicity has emerged.

**METHODOLOGY**

The Johns Hopkins University Evidence-based Practice Center team searched PubMed®, Embase®, and the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) from January 1980 through August 2018. The team developed a search strategy by using medical subject heading (MeSH) terms and key words relevant to the diagnosis and treatment of early stage testicular cancer. A full description of the AUA methodology system and an overview of the AUA Nomenclature System can be found in the supplementary unabridged guideline (https://www.jurology.com).
GUIDELINE STATEMENTS

The statements provided herein should be used in conjunction with the associated algorithm (figs. 1–3).

Initial Management

Diagnosis and Initial Consultation.
1. A solid mass in the testis identified by physical exam or imaging should be managed as a malignant neoplasm until proven otherwise. (Clinical Principle)
2. In a man with a solid mass in the testis suspicious for malignant neoplasm, serum tumor markers (STM) (alpha-fetoprotein [AFP], human chorionic gonadotropin [hCG], lactate dehydrogenase [LDH]) should be drawn and measured prior to any treatment, including orchectomy. (Moderate Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade C)
3. Prior to definitive management, patients should be counseled about the risks of hypogonadism and infertility (Moderate Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade C); and should be offered sperm banking, when appropriate. In patients without a normal contralateral testis or with known subfertility, this should be considered prior to orchectomy. (Clinical Principle)
4. Scrotal ultrasound (US) with Doppler should be obtained in patients with a unilateral or bilateral scrotal mass suspicious for neoplasm. (Strong Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade B)
5. Testicular microlithiasis in the absence of solid mass and risk factors for developing germ cell tumor (GCT) does not confer an increased risk of malignant neoplasm and does not require further evaluation. (Moderate Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade C)
6. Patients with normal STM (hCG and AFP) and indeterminate findings on physical exam or testicular US for testicular neoplasm should undergo repeat imaging in 6-8 weeks. (Clinical Principle)
7. MRI should not be used as the initial evaluation and diagnosis of a testicular lesion suspicious for neoplasm. (Moderate Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade C)
8. Suspicion for testicular cancer should prompt scrotal US and STM. Any hypoechoic mass with vascular flow is highly suggestive of malignancy. Testicular microlithiasis is >5 small echogenic non-shadowing foci.¹ A prospective study showed no association with development of GCT.² Therefore, men with incidentally detected microlithiasis should not undergo further evaluation unless other risk factors are present (i.e. cryptorchidism, family history, personal history of GCT, or Germ Cell Neoplasia In Situ [GCNIS]).³ Up to 50-80% of non-palpable or incidentally detected masses < 2 cm are not cancerous.⁴ Management options include serial examinations and repeat US in 6-8 weeks, inguinal orchectomy or testis-sparing surgery (TSS) with intraoperative frozen-section (table 1).

*IGCCCG good risk chemotherapy BEP3 or EP4.

Figure 1. Testis mass

Figure 2. Pure seminoma

DIAGNOSIS AND TREATMENT OF EARLY STAGE TESTICULAR CANCER: AUA GUIDELINE ALGORITHM
Standard management of a testicular mass concerning for cancer is radical inguinal orchiectomy. Pre-orchiectomy STM levels are essential to interpret post-orchiectomy changes, establish staging and determine appropriate therapy. At diagnosis, up to 50% of men have impaired semen parameters and 10% are azoospermic.

Men who are undecided or planning future paternity should be offered sperm cryopreservation. In patients with an absent or abnormal contralateral testis or with known subfertility, sperm banking may be offered prior to orchiectomy.

Based on a systematic review, MRI does not have a clear diagnostic benefit compared to US but can be considered when US lesions are suspicious for benign etiology.

Orchiectomy.

8. Patients with a testicular lesion suspicious for malignant neoplasm and a normal contralateral testis should undergo a radical inguinal orchiectomy; TSS is not recommended. Transscrotal orchiectomy is discouraged. (Strong Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade B)

9. Testicular prosthesis should be discussed prior to orchiectomy. (Expert Opinion)

10. Patients who have undergone scrotal orchiectomy for malignant neoplasm should be counseled regarding the increased risk of local recurrence and may rarely be considered for adjunctive therapy (excision of scrotal scar or radiotherapy) for local control. (Moderate Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade C)

Testis-Sparing Surgery.

11a. TSS through an inguinal incision may be offered as an alternative to radical inguinal orchiectomy in highly selected patients wishing to preserve gonadal function with masses < 2 cm and (1) equivocal US/physical exam findings and negative tumor markers (hCG and AFP), (2) congenital, acquired or functionally solitary testis, or

---

Table 1. Definition of the germ cell consensus classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Good Prognosis</th>
<th>Intermediate Prognosis</th>
<th>Poor Prognosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nonseminoma</td>
<td>Seminoma</td>
<td>Nonseminoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testis/retroperitoneal primary and no non-pulmonary visceral metastases and normal markers - all of:</td>
<td>Any primary site and no non-pulmonary visceral metastases and normal markers - all of:</td>
<td>Mediastinal primary or non-pulmonary visceral metastases or poor markers - any of:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFP &lt; 1000 ng/ml and hCG &lt; 5000 IU/l (1000 ng/ml) and LDH &lt; 1.5 x upper limit of normal</td>
<td></td>
<td>AFP &gt; 10000 ng/ml or hCG &gt; 50000 IU/l (10000 ng/ml) or LDH &gt; 10 x upper limit of normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56% of nonseminomas</td>
<td>90% of seminomas</td>
<td>16% of nonseminomas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 year PFS 89%</td>
<td>5 year PFS 82%</td>
<td>5 year PFS 41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 year Survival 92%</td>
<td>5 year Survival 86%</td>
<td>5 year Survival 48%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Standard management of a testicular mass concerning for cancer is radical inguinal orchiectomy. Pre-orchiectomy STM levels are essential to interpret post-orchiectomy changes, establish staging and determine appropriate therapy. At diagnosis, up to 50% of men have impaired semen parameters and 10% are azoospermic. Men who are undecided or planning future paternity should be offered sperm cryopreservation. In patients with an absent or abnormal contralateral testis or with known subfertility, sperm banking may be offered prior to orchiectomy.

2. Based on a systematic review, MRI does not have a clear diagnostic benefit compared to US but can be considered when US lesions are suspicious for benign etiology.

3. Orchiectomy.
4. Patients with a testicular lesion suspicious for malignant neoplasm and a normal contralateral testis should undergo a radical inguinal orchiectomy; TSS is not recommended. Transscrotal orchiectomy is discouraged. (Strong Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade B)
5. Testicular prosthesis should be discussed prior to orchiectomy. (Expert Opinion)
6. Patients who have undergone scrotal orchiectomy for malignant neoplasm should be counseled regarding the increased risk of local recurrence and may rarely be considered for adjunctive therapy (excision of scrotal scar or radiotherapy) for local control. (Moderate Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade C)

8. TSS through an inguinal incision may be offered as an alternative to radical inguinal orchiectomy in highly selected patients wishing to preserve gonadal function with masses < 2 cm and (1) equivocal US/physical exam findings and negative tumor markers (hCG and AFP), (2) congenital, acquired or functionally solitary testis, or...
10. Lower doses of radiation (14-16 Gy) have been investigated with similarly low rates of GCNIS on follow-up biopsy (0-7%) and potentially lower rates of hypogonadism.\textsuperscript{11} Radiation therapy (RT) at either dose eliminates spermatogenesis. Orchiectomy eliminates the risk of testicular cancer, lowers rates of fertility and testosterone levels, and is unnecessary for those not destined to develop cancer. Cisplatin-based chemotherapy is discouraged since 18-100% have GCNIS on subsequent biopsy.

**Staging**

**Serum Tumor Markers.**

14. Nadir STM (AFP, hCG and LDH) should be repeated at appropriate T1/2 time intervals after orchiectomy for staging and risk stratification. (Moderate Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade B)

15. For patients with elevated AFP or hCG post-orchiectomy, clinicians should monitor STM to establish nadir levels before treatment only if marker nadir levels would influence treatment. (Clinical Principle)

16. For patients with metastatic GCT (Stage IIC or III) requiring chemotherapy, clinicians must base chemotherapy regimen and number of cycles on the International Germ Cell Cancer Collaborative Group (IGCCCG) risk stratification. IGCCCG risk stratification is based on NADIR STM (hCG, AFP and LDH) levels obtained prior to the initiation of chemotherapy, staging imaging studies, and tumor histology following radical orchiectomy (Strong Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade A). Any post-pubertal male, regardless of age, should be treated according to adult treatment guidelines. (Moderate Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade B)

17. For patients in whom STM (AFP and hCG) levels are borderline elevated (within 3x upper limit of normal) post-orchiectomy, a rising trend should be confirmed before management decisions are made as false positive elevations may occur. (Clinical Principle)
Imaging.
18. In patients with newly diagnosed GCT, clinicians must obtain a computed tomography (CT) scan of the abdomen and pelvis with IV contrast or MRI if contraindications to CT. (Strong Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade B)

19a. In patients with newly diagnosed GCT, clinicians must obtain chest imaging. (Clinical Principle)

19b. In the presence of elevated and rising post-orchiectomy markers (hCG and AFP) or evidence of metastases on abdominal/pelvic imaging, chest x-ray or physical exam, a CT chest should be obtained. (Strong Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade C)

19c. In patients with clinical stage I seminoma, clinicians should preferentially obtain a chest x-ray over a CT scan. (Moderate Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade B)

19d. In patients with a non-seminoma GCT (NSGCT), clinicians may preferentially obtain a CT scan of the chest over a chest x-ray and should prioritize CT chest for those patients recommended to receive adjuvant therapy. (Conditional Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade C)

20. In patients with newly diagnosed GCT, clinicians should not obtain a positron emission tomography (PET) scan for staging. (Strong Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade B)

21. Patients should be assigned a TNM-s category to guide management decisions. (Strong Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade B)

Adequate time must elapse to allow STM to normalize (half-life HCG: 24-36 hours; AFP: 5-7 days). Histology, presence/absence of non-pulmonary visceral metastasis, and post-orchiectomy STM’s establish IGCCCG risk group: good, intermediate, or poor-risk (table 2 in supplementary unabridged guideline, https://www.jurology.com).12 Good-risk is treated with either bleomycin, etoposide, cisplatin (BEP) x3 or etoposide, cisplatin (EP) x4. Intermediate or poor-risk disease are treated with BEPx4. Inferior outcomes occur when post-pubertal males <18 years are treated according to pediatric rather than adult guidelines.13 Mild elevation of AFP or HCG, particularly without metastatic disease on imaging, should prompt consideration of an alternative etiology. Since retroperitoneal lymph nodes are the most frequent site of metastases, imaging is paramount. There is inadequate evidence to support MRI over CT. For thorax imaging, CT has increased sensitivity compared to chest X-ray (median 100% vs. 76% in combined seminoma/nonseminoma) but chest X-ray has superior specificity (median 98% vs. 93%).14,15 Skip metastases to the thorax are more common in nonseminoma than seminoma, where it approaches 0%. For men with clinical stage I nonseminoma who are undergoing Retroperitoneal Lymph Node Dissection (RPLND) or chemotherapy, CT chest is recommended (table 2).

PET scan has excellent specificity and positive predictive value (100% each) for seminoma but does not lead to substantive alterations in management.16,17 In nonseminoma, PET has favorable operating characteristics but the only prospective study did not show significant overall benefit compared to CT.18 Given the cost, radiation exposure, and cascade testing from false positive findings, the harms appear to outweigh the benefits of PET for initial staging.

Management

Principles of Management.
22. Management decisions should be based on imaging obtained within the preceding 4 weeks and STM (hCG and AFP) within the preceding 10 days. (Expert Opinion)

23. Management decisions should be made in a multi-disciplinary setting involving experienced clinicians in urology, medical oncology, radiation oncology, pathology, and radiology. (Clinical Principle)

24. Expert review of pathologic specimens should be considered in clinical scenarios where treatment decisions will be impacted. (Moderate Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade C)

25. In patients with normal STM (hCG and AFP) and equivocal imaging findings for metastasis, clinicians may consider repeat imaging in six-eight weeks to clarify the extent of disease prior to making a treatment recommendation. (Clinical Principle)

Due to the rapid doubling time of many GCT, particularly NSGCTs, there is a risk of disease progression between staging studies and intervention (table 3).19 Therefore, risk adapted management decisions (e.g. RPLND for clinical stage IIA disease) should be made based on recent imaging and STM levels to avoid under treatment. Application of a multi-disciplinary disease management team has been demonstrated to significantly decrease the rates of overtreatment, decrease relapse and improve survival.20 The pathological evaluation of testicular cancers is challenging due to heterogeneity of tumor and multiple histology elements often present in NSGCT. Review by expert

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Clinical stage I seminoma active surveillance follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Years 1-2</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History and physical</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
pathologists leads to alterations of histologic subtype in 4-6% with up to 27% of pathology reports revised overall. These pathologic changes can affect management and prognosis. Many patients with newly diagnosed GCT have equivocal imaging findings, not clearly consistent with localized or metastatic disease (e.g. borderline enlargement of lymph nodes in the retroperitoneum). Repeating imaging 6-8 weeks after the initial CT can be helpful in establishing the probable etiology and may avoid overtreatment and unnecessary toxicity.

**Seminoma Management—Surveillance/RPLND/Chemotherapy/Radiation.**

26. Clinicians should recommend surveillance after orchiectomy for patients with stage I seminoma. Adjuvant radiotherapy and carboplatin-based chemotherapy are less preferred alternatives. (Strong Recommendation, Evidence Level: Grade B)

For stage I seminoma, patients are candidates for surveillance, adjuvant carboplatin, or adjuvant RT after orchiectomy. Surveillance is associated with the lowest risk for short and long-term treatment-related morbidity, since more than 80% of patients will not experience recurrence and are cured with orchiectomy alone. Adjuvant carboplatin and radiation reduce the risk of relapse but do not improve cancer-specific survival compared to surveillance.

27. For patients with stage IIA or IIB seminoma with a lymph node $\leq$3cm, clinicians should recommend RT or multi-agent cisplatin-based chemotherapy based on shared decision-making. (Moderate Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade B) For patients with IIB seminoma with a lymph node $>3$ cm, chemotherapy is recommended. (Moderate Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade B)

RT and multi-agent chemotherapy both result in high rates of cancer specific survival (>97%) in stage II seminoma. For patients with stage IIA seminoma, recurrence rates after radiation or chemotherapy are similar (<10%). Studies of stage IIB seminoma suggest fewer relapses after chemotherapy compared to RT.

**Nonseminoma Management—Surveillance/RPLND/Chemotherapy/Radiation.**

28. Clinicians should recommend risk-appropriate, multi-agent chemotherapy for patients with NSGCT with elevated and rising post-orchiectomy serum AFP or beta-hCG (i.e. stage TanyN1-S21). (Strong Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade B)

Studies of men with stage I, IIA and IIB NSGCT with persistently elevated serum AFP or BHCG after orchiectomy have reported high relapse rates after primary RPLND. Elevated STM after orchiectomy in these patients indicates a high probability of systemic disease for which primary chemotherapy is recommended.

29. Clinicians should recommend surveillance for patients with stage IA NSGCT. RPLND or one cycle of BEP chemotherapy are effective and appropriate alternative treatment options for patients who decline surveillance or are at risk for non-compliance. (Moderate Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade B)

30. For patients with a stage IB NSGCT, clinicians should recommend surveillance, RPLND, or one or two cycles of BEP chemotherapy based on shared decision-making. (Strong Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade B)

31. Patients with stage I NSGCT and any secondary somatic malignancy (also known as teratoma with malignant transformation) in the primary tumor at orchiectomy should undergo RPLND. (Expert Opinion)

Relapse rates for patients with clinical stage IA NSGCT is 10%-20% in most studies. Thus, 80%-90% of men are cured with orchiectomy alone. For these patients, surveillance is recommended. Some men may prefer active treatment with RPLND or one cycle of BEP chemotherapy in order to reduce the risk of relapse and the need for more extensive treatment should a relapse occur on surveillance.

32. Clinicians should recommend RPLND or chemotherapy for patients with stage IIA NSGCT with normal post-orchiectomy serum (S0) AFP and beta-hCG. (Moderate Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade B)

33. In patients with clinical stage IIB NSGCT and normal post-orchiectomy serum AFP and hCG, clinicians should recommend risk-appropriate, multi-agent chemotherapy. (Moderate Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade B)

Clinicians may offer RPLND as an alternative to chemotherapy to select patients with clinical stage IIB NSGCT with normal post-orchiectomy serum AFP and beta-hCG. (Conditional Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade C)

---

**Table 3. Clinical stage I NSGCT active surveillance follow-up**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>History, physical and tumor markers</th>
<th>Chest x-ray and CT abdomen ± pelvis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year 1</td>
<td>Every 2–3 months</td>
<td>Every 3–6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 2</td>
<td>Every 2–4 months</td>
<td>Every 4–12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 3</td>
<td>Every 4–6 months</td>
<td>Every 6–12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 4</td>
<td>Once</td>
<td>Once</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 5</td>
<td>If clinically indicated</td>
<td>If clinically indicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; Year 5</td>
<td>If clinically indicated</td>
<td>If clinically indicated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Men with stage IIA NSGCT have an excellent prognosis when treated with either RPLND or chemotherapy.¹²,³⁸–⁴⁰ Shared decision-making should be used to tailor the treatment decision to the patient’s goals, values and medical history.⁴¹ Patients with clinical stage IIB NSGCT typically have pathological stage II disease confirmed if they undergo RPLND and may be advised to undergo two cycles of post-RPLND chemotherapy if non-teratoma GCT is found in the surgical specimen due to their high-risk of relapse.²⁹,⁴²,⁴³ Therefore, chemotherapy is generally preferred over RPLND as initial post-orchiectomy treatment for these patients.

34. Among patients who are candidates for RPLND, it is recommended clinicians consider referral to an experienced surgeon at a high-volume center. (Moderate Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade C)  
35. Surgeons with experience in the management of GCT and expertise in minimally invasive surgery may offer a minimally-invasive RPLND, acknowledging the lack of long-term data on oncologic outcomes. (Expert Opinion)  
36. Primary RPLND should be performed with curative intent in all patients. RPLND should be performed with adherence to the following anatomical principles, regardless of the intent to administer adjuvant chemotherapy. These principles are applied to both open and minimally-invasive approaches. (Moderate Recommendation, Evidence Level: Grade B).  
   • A full bilateral template dissection should be performed in patients with suspicious lymph nodes based on CT imaging or intraoperative assessment and in those with somatic-type malignancy in the primary tumor.  
   • A full bilateral template or modified template dissection may be performed in patients with clinically negative lymph nodes.  
   • A right modified template dissection may omit the para-aortic lymph nodes below the inferior mesenteric artery. Omission of para-aortic lymph nodes above the inferior mesenteric artery is controversial.  
   • A left modified template dissection may omit para-aortic, preaortic, and retroaortic lymph nodes. Omission of interaortocaval lymph nodes is controversial.  
   • Nerve-sparing should be offered in select patients desiring preservation of ejaculatory function.  
   • Nerve-sparing attempts should not compromise the quality of the lymph node dissection.  
   • A complete retroaortic and/or retrocaval lymph node dissection with division of lumbar vessels should be performed when within the planned template.  
   • The ipsilateral gonadal vessels should be removed in all patients.  
   • The cephalad extent of the dissection is the crus of the diaphragm to the level of the renal arteries.  

The caudad extent of disease is the crossing of the ureter over the ipsilateral common iliac artery.

RPLND is a technically complex surgery with removal of retroperitoneal lymph nodes while preserving the great vessels, surrounding organs, and ejaculatory nerves. Strong consideration for referral to an experienced testes cancer surgeon or center is advised. The role of minimally invasive RPLND in the management of GCT is controversial. RPLND should be performed with curative intent; RPLND should not be performed as a staging modality alone.

37. After primary RPLND, clinicians should recommend surveillance or adjuvant chemotherapy in patients with NSGCT who have pathological stage II disease that is not pure teratoma. For patients with pN1 and/or pN1-3 pure teratoma, surveillance is preferred. For patients with pN2-3 at RPLND, multi-agent cisplatin based chemotherapy is preferred. (Moderate Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade B)  
   A randomized trial of adjuvant chemotherapy versus observation for pathological stage II disease after primary RPLND showed significant reduction in relapse but no difference in overall survival.⁴³ After RPLND alone, men with pN1 disease have a relapse rate of 10% whereas those with pN2 disease have recurrence rates of 58-93%.²⁹,⁴⁴,⁴⁵ Two cycles of adjuvant chemotherapy reduces the relapse rate to 0-7%.⁴³,⁴⁴,⁴⁶

Surveillance for Stage I Testicular Cancer

38. For a patient with clinical stage I seminoma choosing surveillance, clinicians should obtain a history and physical examination and perform cross-sectional imaging of the abdomen with or without the pelvis, every 4-6 months for the first 2 years, and then every 6-12 months in years 3-5. Routine surveillance imaging of the chest and STM assessment can be obtained as clinically indicated. (Moderate Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade B) (table 3 in supplementary unabridged guideline, https://www.jurology.com)  
39. In patients with stage I NSGCT undergoing surveillance after orchiectomy, a clinician should perform a physical examination and obtain STM (AFP, hCG +/- LDH) every 2-3 months in year 1, every 2-4 months in year 2, every 4-6 months in year 3, and every 6-12 months for years 4 and 5. (Moderate Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade C)  
   For seminoma, the majority of relapses will be detected on abdominal-pelvic CT imaging.²⁷ The role of routine STM assessment and chest imaging in these patients is limited. Clinical stage I NSGCT patients on surveillance should be evaluated with routine STM and chest-abdominal-pelvic imaging.

40. In patients with stage I NSGCT undergoing surveillance after orchiectomy, radiologic assessment (chest
x-ray and imaging of the abdomen plus or minus the pelvis) should be obtained every 3-6 months in year 1 starting at 3 months, every 4-12 months in year 2, once in year 3, and once in year 4 or 5. (Moderate Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade B) Men at higher risk of relapse (e.g. lymphovascular invasion) should be imaged with shorter intervals. (Expert Opinion) (table 4 in supplementary unabridged guideline, https://www.jurology.com)

41. Patients who relapse on surveillance should be fully restaged and treated based on their TNM-s status. (Moderate Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade C)

42. Clinicians should inform patients with stage I GCT on surveillance of the ≤1% risk of late relapse after 5 years. (Moderate Recommendation; Evidence Level: B) Annual serologic and radiographic assessment may be performed thereafter as indicated based upon clinical concerns. (Clinical Principle)

Additional Survivorship

43. Patients with GCT should be monitored for signs and symptoms of hypogonadism. If present, serum AM testosterone and luteinizing hormone levels should be measured. (Clinical Principle)

44. Patients with a history of GCT whose treatment has included RT, chemotherapy, or both should be advised of elevated risk of cardiovascular disease (Conditional Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade C) and should establish regular care with a primary care physician so modifiable risk factors for cardiovascular disease can be monitored (such factors include diet, exercise, smoking, serum lipid levels, blood pressure, and serum glucose). (Expert Opinion)

45. Patients with a history of GCT whose treatment has included RT, chemotherapy, or both should be advised of elevated risk of secondary malignancy (Conditional Recommendation; Evidence Level: Grade C) and should establish regular care with a primary care physician for appropriate health care maintenance and cancer screening as appropriate. (Expert Opinion)

Patients should be assessed for signs or symptoms of hypogonadism. RT and chemotherapy are associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular disease and secondary malignancies in testis cancer survivors.48–50 Regarding cardiovascular disease risk, it is appropriate to reduce known risk factors in a high-risk population. Additional cancer screening beyond what is recommended for the general population is not recommended for testis cancer survivors.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

This guideline attempts to improve a clinician’s ability to evaluate and treat patients with testicular cancer, but higher quality evidence in future trials will be essential to improve level of care for these patients.

DISCLAIMER

This document was written by the Testicular Cancer Guideline Panel of the American Urological Association Education and Research, Inc., which was created in 2017. The Practice Guidelines Committee (PGC) of the AUA selected the committee chair. Panel members were selected by the chair. Membership of the Panel included specialists in urology and primary care with specific expertise on this disorder. The mission of the panel was to develop recommendations that are analysis-based or consensus-based, depending on panel processes and available data, for optimal clinical practices in the treatment of early stage testicular cancer.

Funding of the panel was provided by the AUA. Panel members received no remuneration for their work. Each member of the panel provides an ongoing conflict of interest disclosure to the AUA.

While these guidelines do not necessarily establish the standard of care, AUA seeks to recommend and to encourage compliance by practitioners with current best practices related to the condition being treated. As medical knowledge expands and technology advances, the guidelines will change. Today these evidence-based guidelines statements represent not absolute mandates but provisional proposals for treatment under the specific conditions described in each document. For all these reasons, the guidelines do not pre-empt physician judgment in individual cases.

Treating physicians must take into account variations in resources, and patient tolerances, needs, and preferences. Conformance with any clinical guideline does not guarantee a successful outcome. The guideline text may include information or recommendations about certain drug uses (‘off label’) that are not approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), or about medications or substances not subject to the FDA approval process. AUA urges strict compliance with all government regulations and protocols for prescription and use of these substances. The physician is encouraged to carefully follow all available prescribing information about indications, contraindications, precautions and warnings. These guidelines and best practice statements are not intended to provide legal advice about use and misuse of these substances.

Although guidelines are intended to encourage best practices and potentially encompass available technologies with sufficient data as of close of the literature review, they are necessarily time-limited. Guidelines cannot include evaluation of all data on emerging technologies or management, including those that are FDA-approved, which may immediately come to represent accepted clinical practices.
For this reason, the AUA does not regard technologies or management which are too new to be addressed by this guideline as necessarily experimental or investigational.
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