2015-2019

Advanced Practice Providers (APP)

FOR UROLOGIC CARE IN THE UNITED STATES





American Urological Association Advancing Urolog

> American Urological Association October 2020 ©AUA 2020

American Urological Association (AUA)

©AUA2020

American Urological Association Advanced Practice Providers for Urologic Care in the United States 2015-2019 Linthicum, Maryland, U.S.A., October 19, 2020.

Preface

The American Urological Association (AUA) has conducted an Annual Census of its members since 2014. The Census results have become a vital source of information about the landscape of urological practice and employment patterns of urologists. As a comprehensive effort surveying both the breadth and depth of the urological community, the Census has helped to bridge gaps in knowledge as well as probe emerging trends, such as workforce size and composition, medical teams, compensation, and professional burnout.

For the first time, the AUA has published a report on Census data collected from advanced practice providers who practice in urology. The report, *Advanced Practice Providers for Urologic Care in the United States 2015-2019*, presents a unique snapshot of the demographics, roles and clinical responsibilities, compensation, and professional burnout of these members of the urologic care team. As a companion publication to the annual Census review, *The State of the Urology Workforce and Practice in the United States*, this report offers a valuable perspective on the needs of advanced practice providers, specifically the dynamic profile of physician assistants and nurse practitioners, and the future of the teambased urological workforce. Building a multidisciplinary medical team is especially important in helping address urologist shortages and increase the accessibility and effectiveness of urologic care delivery.

As the AUA Annual Census enters its seventh year of data collection, continued participation by the AUA community– particularly by advanced practice providers in urology–will help ensure that future editions of this report remain timely, representative and relevant. We encourage you to contribute to this important effort each year, and we also invite you to review past Census reports available at **AUAnet.org/Census**.

Tricia Zubert

Tricia Zubert, APRN, CNP

Chair, AUA Advanced Practice Provider Membership Committee Nurse Practitioner Park Nicollet Health Services Saint Louis Park, Minnesota

Daniel F. Vatrolleg

Daniel Vetrosky, PA-C, PhD

Chair, AUA Advanced Practice Providers Education Committee

Emeritus Associate Professor, Department of Physician Assistant Studies in the College of Allied Health Professions at the University of South Alabama in Mobile

Danil V. Makarov, MD, MHS

Chair, AUA Data Committee Associate Professor of Urology, Population Health and Health Policy NYU School of Medicine, Veterans Affairs New York Harbor Healthcare System-Brooklyn

Dan Henson

David F. Penson, MD, MPH

Chair, AUA Science and Quality Council

Paul V. Hamilton, M.D. and Virginia E. Howd Chair in Urologic Oncology

Professor of Urologic Surgery and Medicine at Vanderbilt University Medical Center The American Urological Association would like to thank all members of the urologic community for their continued support of and participation in the AUA Annual Census.

Table of Contents

| Executive Summary4 |
|-------------------------------------|
| LIST OF TABLES6 |
| Introduction |
| DATA AND METHODS9 |
| Results |
| DISCUSSION |
| CONTRIBUTORS |
| AUA STATISTICAL SERVICES PROGRAM 33 |

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

PURPOSE

As the population in the United States ages and the occurrences of urologic diseases increase, so does the need for urologic care. The purpose of this study is to understand the central role and various experiences of advanced practice providers (APPs), specifically physician assistants (PAs) and nurse practitioners (NPs), as part of a urologist-led medical team in the United States.

METHODS

Data were collected through the AUA Annual Census from 2015 to 2019. The Census launches each year in May at the AUA Annual Meeting and continues online through September. Unweighted sample analyses of PAs and NPs were performed from multiple angles.

KEY INDICATORS

- Advanced practice providers mainly consist of women, more than 68 percent in physician assistants and more than 91 percent in nurse practitioners (Table 1-1).
- PAs were nearly four years younger in age than their NP counterparts in 2019 (Table 1-3).
- Approximately 90 percent of PAs and NPs work in metropolitan areas (Table 1-6).
- APPs were most likely to practice in New York, California, Texas, Pennsylvania and Florida (Table 1-7).

- About 29 percent of PAs and 27 percent of NPs plan to retire fully after the age of 65 (Table 1-9).
- Most APPs primarily work in general urology while NPs are more likely than PAs to work in urology specialty areas such as oncology and pediatrics (Table 2-1).
- Specialty areas in which APPs are more likely to work are erectile dysfunction (61.4 percent of PAs and 53.4 percent of NPs), oncology (52.3 percent of PAs and 37.8 percent of NPs) and endourology/stone disease (47.2 percent of PAs and 34.3 of NPs) (Table 2-2).
- NPs are more likely than PAs to work in institutional practices (59 percent), like academic medical centers, medical schools, and hospitals (42 percent) (Table 2-3).
- About 70 percent of APPs work in urology groups with four or more urologists (Table 3-1).
- Nearly two-thirds of APPs have a dedicated medical assistant (MA) or nurse (RN or LPN) to support them when they see patients. PAs are more likely to be supported by a dedicated MA while NPs are more likely to be supported by a dedicated nurse (Table 3-6).
- Results show 34.1 percent of PAs and 28.3 percent of NPs work in four or more office locations. On average, about two-thirds of APPs work in more than one location (Table 3-8).
- Both PAs and NPs see a median number of 60 patients per week (Table 4-1).
- Both PAs and NPs spend a median number of 40 hours on clinical duties and 5 hours on non-clinical duties per week (Table 4-2 and Table 4-3).

- Approximately 30 percent of PAs and 24 percent of NPs take after-hour calls as part of their employment requirement (Table 4-5).
- A vast majority of NPs see office patients as part of their clinical responsibilities (Table 5-1).
- Compared to NPs, PAs are more likely to do postoperation evaluation, do procedures in the outpatient setting and perform pre-operation evaluation while also seeing patients in office (Table 5-1).
- More than half of the PAs and NPs independently perform intracavernosal injections for ED and bladder instillation (Table 5-3, Table 5-4 and Table 5-5).
- Nearly one in five APPs assist urologists in performing cystoscopy for difficult catheter placement (19.2 percent) and stent removal (19.2 percent) (Table 5-3).
- Straight salary is the most common compensation model for APPs (53.0 percent in PAs and 43.1 percent in NPs) (Table 6-1).
- Overall, nearly 40 percent of APPs made more than \$115,000 in 2018, higher in PAs (49.4 percent) and lower in NPs (32.8 percent) (Table 6-2).
- A vast majority of APPs reported their practices track their productivity, 91.7 percent for NPs and 83.8 percent for PAs (Table 6-3).
- Slightly more than one in four APPs experienced professional burnout in 2019, 25.3 percent of PAs and 26.7 percent of NPs (Table 7-4).
- Professional burnout in female APPs is nearly three times higher than their male counterparts (Table 7-6).
- No statistically significant differences in professional burnout rates were seen among APPs based on age, race, Hispanic ethnicity, the number of years practicing in urology, practice setting, metropolitan status and AUA sections (Table 7-5 to Table 7-11).

DISCUSSION

Findings from this study demonstrate the significant demographic and professional characteristics of APPs and the pivotal role they play in urologic care as part of a physician-led collaborative care medical team in the United States. The collaboration with physician assistants and nurse practitioners enhances patients' access to urologic care, frees urologists' time to concentrate on more complex cases, and improves the provision of quality care to patients through a costeffective team approach.

About the American Urological Association (AUA)

THE ORGANIZATION

Founded in 1902 and headquartered near Baltimore, Maryland, the AUA serves more than 22,000 members throughout the world as a leading advocate for the specialty of urology. The AUA is a premier urologic association that provides invaluable support to the urologic community.

AUA MISSION

The AUA mission is to promote the highest standards of urological clinical care through education, research and the formulation of health care policy.

AUA VISION

The AUA vision is to be the premier professional association for the advancement of professional urologic patient care.

ABOUT THE AUA ANNUAL CENSUS

The AUA Annual Census is a systematically designed, specialty-wide survey of urology. Its primary goal is to provide a reliable source of data surrounding the urologic community, such as providers' geographic distribution, demographic characteristics, education and training and urology practice patterns. The data collected assist with filling knowledge gaps and meeting research needs that will be used to improve patient care.

For more information about the AUA Annual Census, please visit AUANet.org/Census.

List of Tables

TABLE 1-1: Advanced Practice Providers by Gender

TABLE 1-2: Age in the Most Recent Year the Census Was

 Completed

TABLE 1-3: Age in 2019

TABLE 1-4: Race

TABLE 1-5: Hispanic Ethnicity

TABLE 1-6: Is Your Primary Practice Located in a Rural

 Area?

TABLE 1-7: Top 15 States with the Largest Samples of

 Advanced Practice Providers

TABLE 1-8: Number of Years Practicing in Urology after

 Completion of PA/NP Degree

TABLE 1-9: Planned Age at Full Retirement

TABLE 1-10: Education

TABLE 2-1: Primary Subspecialty Area

TABLE 2-2: All Subspecialty Areas

TABLE 2-3: Primary Practice Setting

TABLE 2-4: Employment Status

TABLE 2-5: Are You Interested in Becoming the Owner of, or a Partner in, Your Practice?

TABLE 3-1: Medical Team Composition: Number of

 Urologists

TABLE 3-2: Medical Team Composition: Number of Physician Assistants (PAs)

TABLE 3-3: Medical Team Composition: Number of Nurse

 Practitioners (NPs)

TABLE 3-4: Medical Team Composition: Number of Nurses

TABLE 3-5: Medical Team Composition: PracticeManagers, Medical Officers, and Practice Administrators

TABLE 3-6: Do You Have A Dedicated Medical Assistant (MA) or Nurse (RN or LPN) to Support You When You See Patients?

TABLE 3-7: When Did You Start Having A Dedicated Medical Assistant (MA) or Nurse (RN or LPN) to Support You When You See Patients?

TABLE 3-8: Number of Office Locations

TABLE 4-1: Number of Patient Visits per Week

TABLE 4-2: Number of Hours Spent on Clinical Activities

 per Week

TABLE 4-3: Number of Hours Spent on Non-ClinicalActivities per Week

TABLE 4-4: Number of Weeks of Vacation in the Previous Year

TABLE 4-5: Do You Take After-Hour Calls as a Part of Your Employment Requirement?

TABLE 5-1: Routinely Performed Clinical Duties

TABLE 5-2: Percentage of Time Spent on Selected Areas

TABLE 5-3: Level of Involvement in Performing Selected

 Clinical Procedures

TABLE 5-4: Level of Involvement in Performing Selected Clinical Procedures by Physician Assistants

TABLE 5-5: Level of Involvement in Performing Selected Clinical Procedures by Nurse Practitioners

TABLE 6-1: Method of Compensation

TABLE 6-2: Compensation Level in 2018

TABLE 6-3: Does Your Practice Track Individual

 Productivity?

TABLE 6-4: If Your Practice Tracks Individual Productivity, How Often Do You Receive Your Productivity Reports?

TABLE 6-5: Are You Interested in Receiving Your Individual Productivity Reports?

List of Tables (Continued)

TABLE 7-1: Emotional Exhaustion
TABLE 7-2: Depersonalization
TABLE 7-3: Personal Achievement
TABLE 7-4: Overall Burnout Rate
TABLE 7-5: Burnout Rate by Age
TABLE 7-6: Burnout Rate by Gender
TABLE 7-7: Burnout Rate by Race
TABLE 7-8: Burnout Rate by Hispanic Ethnicity
TABLE 7-9: Burnout Rate by Number of Years Practicing in Urology
TABLE 7-10: Burnout Rate by Metropolitan Status

TABLE 7-11: Burnout Rate by AUA Section

INTRODUCTION

Due to population aging in the United States, the demand for urologic care will increase over the future decades. In 2013, the AUA developed a consensus statement on the utilization of advanced practice providers (APPs) to lessen the impact of the growing urologic care demand in various urologic settings.

WHAT IS AN ADVANCED PRACTICE PROVIDER?

Advanced practice providers (APPs) are a group of medical professionals that typically include physician assistants and nurse practitioners. APPs provide clinical care to patients as part of the physician-led healthcare team. APPs are highly knowledgeable and serve as an integral part of the development and implementation of our patients' personalized treatment plans.

WHAT IS A PHYSICIAN ASSISTANT?

A physician assistant (PA) is a graduate of an accredited PA educational program who is nationally certified and state-licensed to practice medicine with the supervision of a physician. PAs are educated on the medical model with an emphasis on primary care. All PAs rotate through the major specialties and complete a vast number of clinical rotations while in training. PAs are licensed to practice in all areas of medicine and even in surgery.

WHAT IS A NURSE PRACTITIONER?

A nurse practitioner [NP, also named advanced practice registered nurse (APRN) or clinical nurse specialist (CNS)] is a registered nurse with additional advanced clinical education and specialty expertise. NPs have completed a master's or doctoral degree program with expansive clinical hour rotations and are boardcertified. NPs are prepared to practice in an expanded role to provide health care in a variety of settings. They act independently and/or in collaboration with other medical professionals in the delivery of healthcare services. Nurse practitioners and clinical nurse specialists may be certified in a wide variety of specialties.

To further understand the critical role of an APP on a urologist-led medical team, the AUA incorporated questions specifically for these professionals in the AUA Census each year since 2015. For the first time, the results of these responses are presented in a multiyear report (2015 – 2019). The objective of this study was to characterize and compare the impact of PAs and NPs on urologic care across the nation. The findings are pertinent in constructing a successful and efficient medical team, informing urology workforce planning and implementation and, ultimately, improving national urologic care.

Data and Methods

DATA SOURCES

Data used in this study were collected from the 2015 to 2019 American Urological Association's Annual Census, a systematically designed annual survey of the urology field. The AUA Annual Census launches each year in May and remains online to both AUA members and non-members of the urologic community through September. Each respondent is assigned an identification number prior to the submission of responses to the Census questions.

Questions were analyzed and reported using the APP samples from the year, if they were asked in one year only, or by using combined samples if they were asked in successive years to increase sample size and statistical power to identify differences. In developing combined APP samples, their most recent answers were used in the analysis.

DATA ELEMENTS

Data collected from APPs include:

- Demographics (age, gender, race and ethnicity)
- Geographic location of practice
- Number of years practicing in urology
- Intended age at full retirement
- Primary and other subspecialty areas
- Primary practice setting
- Employment status

- Medical team composition and support
- Weekly patient encounters and numbers of hours of work on clinical and non-clinical responsibilities
- Clinical responsibilities
- Compensation and productivity
- Professional burnout.

DATA ANALYSIS

Descriptive analyses of sample data, including counts and percentages, were conducted using both IBM-SPSS 26.0 and MS Excel for this report.

LIMITATIONS

Due to the lack of a master file of advanced practice providers in urologic care in the United States, samples from APPs were directly analyzed without the adjustment for non-response bias. Relatively small sample sizes may only help draw conclusions on those APP respondents. Thus, the findings in this report may not be generalizable. In addition, most data elements collected in the AUA Annual Census were self-reported and are subject to recall bias.



As shown in the table below, 427 unique advanced practice providers in the United States, including 176 physician assistants and 251 nurse practitioners, completed at least one of the AUA Annual Census surveys from 2015 to 2019.

Sample Distribution

| | APP Census Respondents | | | | | |
|------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------|--|--|--|
| APP Cohorts | Physician Assistants | Nurse Practitioners | Total APPs | | | |
| 2015-2019 Census | 176 | 251 | 427 | | | |
| 2016-2018 Census | 123 | 178 | 302 | | | |
| 2019 Census | 83 | 116 | 199 | | | |

Section 1: Demographics, Education and Geographic Distribution

TABLE 1-1

Advanced Practice Providers by Gender

| | Physician Assistants and Nurse Practitioners | | | | | |
|--------|--|------------|-----------|------------|-------|---------|
| | Physician | Assistants | Nurse Pra | ctitioners | То | tal |
| Gender | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| Female | 120 | 68.2 | 229 | 91.2 | 349 | 81.7 |
| Male | 56 | 31.8 | 22 | 8.8 | 78 | 18.3 |
| Total | 176 | 100.0 | 251 | 100.0 | 427 | 100.0 |

(Data source: Samples from the 2015 to 2019 AUA Annual Census)

TABLE 1-2

Age in the Most Recent Year the Census Was Completed

| | Physician Assistants and Nurse Practitioners | | | | | |
|-----------|--|---------|---------------------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Physician Assistants | | Nurse Practitioners | | Total | |
| Age Range | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| ≤ 35 | 65 | 36.9 | 59 | 23.5 | 124 | 29.0 |
| 36–45 | 53 | 30.1 | 75 | 29.9 | 128 | 30.0 |
| 46–55 | 33 | 18.8 | 57 | 22.7 | 90 | 21.1 |
| > 55 | 25 | 14.2 | 60 | 23.9 | 85 | 19.9 |
| Total | 176 | 100.0 | 251 | 100.0 | 427 | 100.0 |

(Data source: Samples from the 2015 to 2019 AUA Annual Census)

TABLE 1-3 Age in 2019

| | Physician Assistants and Nurse Practitioners | | | | | |
|-------------|--|---------------------|-------|--|--|--|
| Age in 2019 | Physician Assistants | Nurse Practitioners | Total | | | |
| Youngest | 26 | 27 | 26 | | | |
| Average Age | 42.7 | 46.6 | 45.0 | | | |
| Median Age | 41 | 46 | 43 | | | |
| Oldest | 71 | 76 | 76 | | | |

TABLE 1-4 Race

| | Physician Assistants and Nurse Practitioners | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--|---------|---------------------|---------|-------|---------|--|
| | Physician Assistants | | Nurse Practitioners | | Total | | |
| Race | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | |
| White | 144 | 81.8 | 206 | 82.1 | 350 | 82.0 | |
| Asian | 17 | 9.7 | 16 | 6.4 | 33 | 7.7 | |
| African American/Black | 8 | 4.5 | 9 | 3.6 | 17 | 4.0 | |
| Other or Multiple Races | 2 | 1.1 | 7 | 2.8 | 9 | 2.1 | |
| Not Reported | 5 | 2.8 | 13 | 5.2 | 18 | 4.2 | |
| Total | 176 | 100.0 | 251 | 100.0 | 427 | 100.0 | |

(Data source: Samples from the 2015 to 2019 AUA Annual Census)

TABLE 1-5Hispanic Ethnicity

| | Physician Assistants and Nurse Practitioners | | | | | |
|--------------------|--|---------|---------------------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Physician Assistants | | Nurse Practitioners | | Total | |
| Hispanic Ethnicity | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| Hispanic | 15 | 8.5 | 12 | 4.8 | 27 | 6.3 |
| Non-Hispanic | 158 | 89.8 | 235 | 93.6 | 393 | 92.0 |
| Not Reported | 3 | 1.7 | 4 | 1.6 | 7 | 1.6 |
| Total | 176 | 100.0 | 251 | 100.0 | 427 | 100.0 |

(Data source: Samples from the 2015 to 2019 AUA Annual Census)

TABLE 1-6Is Your Primary Practice Located in a Rural Area?

| | Physician Assistants and Nurse Practitioners | | | | | |
|------------------------|--|---------|---------------------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Physician Assistants | | Nurse Practitioners | | Total | |
| Level of Rurality | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| Metropolitan Areas | 161 | 91.5 | 226 | 90.0 | 387 | 90.6 |
| Non-Metropolitan Areas | 15 | 8.5 | 25 | 10.0 | 40 | 9.4 |
| Total Reported | 176 | 100.0 | 251 | 100.0 | 427 | 100.0 |

TABLE 1-7Top 15 States with the Largest Samples of Advanced Practice Providers

| State | Number of Advanced Practice Providers | Percent |
|---|--|---------|
| New York | 39 | 9.1 |
| California | 38 | 8.9 |
| Texas | 29 | 6.8 |
| Pennsylvania | 24 | 5.6 |
| Florida | 22 | 5.2 |
| Illinois | 18 | 4.2 |
| Michigan | 16 | 3.8 |
| North Carolina | 15 | 3.5 |
| Wisconsin | 15 | 3.5 |
| Georgia | 14 | 3.3 |
| Arizona | 13 | 3.0 |
| Massachusetts | 13 | 3.0 |
| Minnesota | 13 | 3.0 |
| Virginia | 13 | 3.0 |
| Ohio | 12 | 2.8 |
| Other States and District with Fewer APPs | 133 | 31.2 |
| Total | 427 | 100.0 |

(Data source: Samples from the 2015 to 2019 AUA Annual Census)

TABLE 1-8 Number of Years Practicing in Urology after Completion of PA/NP Degree

| | Physician Assistants and Nurse Practitioners | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|--|---------|---------------------|---------|-------|---------|--|
| | Physician Assistants | | Nurse Practitioners | | Total | | |
| Years of Practice in Urology | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | |
| ≤ 3 | 50 | 28.4 | 94 | 37.5 | 144 | 33.7 | |
| 4–9 | 67 | 38.1 | 80 | 31.9 | 147 | 34.4 | |
| ≥ 10 | 59 | 33.5 | 77 | 30.7 | 136 | 31.9 | |
| Total | 176 | 100.0 | 251 | 100.0 | 427 | 100.0 | |

TABLE 1-9Planned Age at Full Retirement

| | Physician Assistants and Nurse Practitioners | | | | | |
|---------------------|--|---------|--------------------------|---------|-------|---------|
| Planned Age at Full | Physician Assistants | | ants Nurse Practitioners | | Total | |
| Retirement | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| ≤ 60 | 45 | 25.6 | 69 | 27.5 | 114 | 26.7 |
| 61–65 | 79 | 44.9 | 114 | 45.4 | 193 | 45.2 |
| > 65 | 52 | 29.5 | 68 | 27.1 | 120 | 28.1 |
| Total | 176 | 100.0 | 251 | 100.0 | 427 | 100.0 |

(Data source: Samples from the 2015 to 2019 AUA Annual Census)

TABLE 1-10 Education

| | Physician Assistants and Nurse Practitioners | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--|------------|-----------|------------|--|--|
| | Physician | Assistants | Nurse Pra | ctitioners | | |
| Type of Education | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | | |
| Physician Assistant Program | 176 | 100.0 | 1 | 0.4 | | |
| Nurse Practitioner Program | 0 | 0.0 | 246 | 98.0 | | |
| PhD or Other Doctorate | 3 | 1.7 | 5 | 2.0 | | |
| MBA | 1 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.4 | | |
| MPH or MHS | 9 | 5.1 | 2 | 0.8 | | |

(Data source: Samples from the 2015 to 2019 AUA Annual Census)

Respondents could select multiple anwers. MBA – Master of Business Administration; MPH – Master of Public Health; MHS – Master of Health Sciences

Section 2: Characteristics of the Urology Practice and Medical Teams

TABLE 2-1 Primary Specialty Area

| Primary Specialty Area | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|------------|--------------|--------------|------------|----|
| | | Physiciar | Assistants a | nd Nurse Pra | ctitioners | |
| | Physician | Assistants | Nurse Pra | ctitioners | Total | |
| Primary Specialty Area | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Pe |
| General without Specialty | 124 | 70.5 | 152 | 60.6 | 276 | |
| Oncology | 21 | 11.9 | 25 | 10.0 | 46 | |
| Pediatrics | 4 | 2.3 | 22 | 8.8 | 26 | |
| Female Pelvic Medicine and Reconstruction Surgery | 8 | 4.5 | 14 | 5.6 | 22 | |
| Other Male Urology Areas^ | 4 | 2.3 | 16 | 6.4 | 20 | |
| Robotic Surgery | 7 | 4.0 | 9 | 3.6 | 16 | |
| Endourology/Stone Disease | 5 | 2.8 | 4 | 1.6 | 9 | |
| Others | 3 | 1.7 | 9 | 3.6 | 12 | |
| Total | 176 | 100.0 | 251 | 100.0 | 427 | |
| | | | | | | |

(Data source: Samples from the 2015 to 2019 AUA Annual Census)

^Other male urology areas include erectile dysfunction, male infertility and male genitourinary reconstruction

TABLE 2-2 All Specialty Areas

| | | Physiciar | n Assistants a | nd Nurse Pra | ctitioners | |
|--|-----------|------------|----------------|--------------|------------|---------|
| | Physician | Assistants | Nurse Pra | ctitioners | Total | |
| Specialty Area | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| Erectile Dysfunction | 108 | 61.4 | 134 | 53.4 | 242 | 56.7 |
| Oncology | 92 | 52.3 | 95 | 37.8 | 187 | 43.8 |
| Endourology/Stone Disease | 83 | 47.2 | 86 | 34.3 | 169 | 39.6 |
| Female Pelvic Medicine and Reconstructive Surgery | 57 | 32.4 | 78 | 31.1 | 135 | 31.6 |
| Robotic Surgery | 56 | 31.8 | 47 | 18.7 | 103 | 24.1 |
| Male Infertility | 35 | 19.9 | 46 | 18.3 | 81 | 19.0 |
| Laparoscopic Surgery | 38 | 21.6 | 41 | 16.3 | 79 | 18.5 |
| Male Genitourinary Reconstruction | 28 | 15.9 | 34 | 13.5 | 62 | 14.5 |
| Pediatrics | 19 | 10.8 | 33 | 13.1 | 52 | 12.2 |

(Data source: Samples from the 2015 to 2019 AUA Annual Census)

64.6 10.8 6.1

5.2

4.7 3.7 2.1 2.8 **100.0**

TABLE 2-3 Primary Practice Setting

| , , | | Physician Assistants and Nurse Practitioners | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|--|-----------|---------------------|-------|---------|--|--|--|
| | Physician | Assistants | Nurse Pra | Nurse Practitioners | | tal | | | |
| Primary Practice Setting | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | | | |
| Institutional Practices | 74 | 42.0 | 148 | 59.0 | 225 | 52.7 | | | |
| Academic Medical Centers/ Medical Schools | 42 | 23.9 | 93 | 37.1 | 135 | 31.6 | | | |
| Public and Private Hospitals | 23 | 13.1 | 34 | 13.5 | 57 | 13.3 | | | |
| Military Hospitals | 9 | 5.1 | 21 | 8.4 | 30 | 7.0 | | | |
| Private Practices | 94 | 53.4 | 99 | 39.4 | 193 | 45.2 | | | |
| Single Specialty Urology Groups | 57 | 32.4 | 59 | 23.5 | 116 | 27.2 | | | |
| Multi-Specialty Groups | 32 | 18.2 | 33 | 13.1 | 65 | 15.2 | | | |
| Solo (One Urologist) Practices | 5 | 2.8 | 7 | 2.8 | 12 | 2.8 | | | |
| Other | 8 | 4.5 | 4 | 1.6 | 12 | 2.8 | | | |
| Total | 176 | 100.0 | 251 | 100.0 | 427 | 100.0 | | | |

(Data source: Samples from the 2015 to 2019 AUA Annual Census)

TABLE 2-4 Employment Status

| | Physician Assistants and Nurse Practitioners | | | | | | |
|---|--|---------|---------------------|---------|-------|---------|--|
| | Physician Assistants | | Nurse Practitioners | | Total | | |
| Employment Status | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | |
| l am the sole owner or a partner of my practice | 2 | 1.1 | 6 | 2.4 | 8 | 1.9 | |
| I am employed by other people | 172 | 97.7 | 245 | 97.6 | 417 | 97.7 | |
| l am both | 2 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.5 | |
| Total | 176 | 100.0 | 251 | 100.0 | 427 | 100.0 | |

(Data source: Samples from the 2015 to 2019 AUA Annual Census)

TABLE 2-5Are You Interested in Becoming the Owner of, or a Partner in, Your Practice?

| | | Physician Assistants and Nurse Practitioners | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|----------------------|--|---------------------|---------|-------|---------|--|--|--|
| | Physician Assistants | | Nurse Practitioners | | Total | | | | |
| Interests in Ownership | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | | | |
| No | 47 | 56.6 | 75 | 64.7 | 122 | 61.3 | | | |
| Not Discussed | 27 | 32.5 | 28 | 24.1 | 55 | 27.6 | | | |
| Yes | 9 | 10.8 | 13 | 11.2 | 22 | 11.1 | | | |
| Total | 83 | 100.0 | 116 | 100.0 | 199 | 100.0 | | | |

(Data source: Samples from the 2019 AUA Annual Census) Results presented are from employed APPs.

Section 3: Medical Team Composition and Support

TABLE 3-1

Medical Team Composition: Number of Urologists

| | Physician Assistants and Nurse Practitioners | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|--|---------|---------------------|---------|-------|---------|--|--|
| | Physician Assistants | | Nurse Practitioners | | Total | | | |
| Number of Urologists | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | | |
| ≤ 3 | 47 | 26.7 | 80 | 31.9 | 127 | 29.7 | | |
| 4-6 | 56 | 31.8 | 86 | 34.3 | 142 | 33.3 | | |
| ≥ 7 | 73 | 41.5 | 85 | 33.9 | 158 | 37.0 | | |
| Total | 176 | 100.0 | 251 | 100.0 | 427 | 100.0 | | |

(Data source: Samples from the 2015 to 2019 AUA Annual Census)

TABLE 3-2 Medical Team Composition: Number of Physician Assistants (PAs)

| | | Physician Assistants and Nurse Practitioners | | | | | | |
|---------------|----------------------|--|---------------------|---------|-------|---------|--|--|
| | Physician Assistants | | Nurse Practitioners | | Total | | | |
| Number of PAs | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | | |
| 1 | 80 | 45.5 | 185 | 73.7 | 265 | 62.1 | | |
| 2-4 | 57 | 32.4 | 49 | 19.5 | 106 | 24.8 | | |
| ≥ 5 | 39 | 22.2 | 17 | 6.8 | 56 | 13.1 | | |
| Total | 176 | 100.0 | 251 | 100.0 | 427 | 100.0 | | |

(Data source: Samples from the 2015 to 2019 AUA Annual Census)

TABLE 3-3

Medical Team Composition: Number of Nurse Practitioners (NPs)

| | Physician Assistants and Nurse Practitioners | | | | | | |
|---------------|--|---------|---------------------|---------|-------|---------|--|
| | Physician Assistants | | Nurse Practitioners | | Total | | |
| Number of NPs | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | |
| ≤ 3 | 154 | 87.5 | 192 | 76.5 | 346 | 81.0 | |
| ≥ 4 | 22 | 12.5 | 59 | 23.5 | 81 | 19.0 | |
| Total | 176 | 100.0 | 251 | 100.0 | 427 | 100.0 | |

TABLE 3-4Medical Team Composition: Number of Nurses

| | | Physician Assistants and Nurse Practitioners | | | | | | |
|------------------|----------------------|--|---------------------|---------|-------|---------|--|--|
| | Physician Assistants | | Nurse Practitioners | | Total | | | |
| Number of Nurses | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | | |
| ≤ 3 | 82 | 46.6 | 119 | 47.4 | 201 | 47.1 | | |
| 4-6 | 43 | 24.4 | 57 | 22.7 | 100 | 23.4 | | |
| ≥7 | 51 | 29.0 | 75 | 29.9 | 126 | 29.5 | | |
| Total | 176 | 100.0 | 251 | 100.0 | 427 | 100.0 | | |

(Data source: Samples from the 2015 to 2019 AUA Annual Census)

TABLE 3-5

Medical Team Composition: Practice Managers, Medical Officers, and Practice Administrators

| | Physician Assistants and Nurse Practitioners | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---------|---------------------|---------|-------|---------|--|--|
| Number of Practice Managers, Medical Officers, Practice Administrators | Physician Assistants | | Nurse Practitioners | | Total | | | |
| | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | | |
| 1 | 72 | 40.9 | 91 | 36.3 | 163 | 38.2 | | |
| 2-4 | 61 | 34.7 | 101 | 40.2 | 162 | 37.9 | | |
| ≥ 5 | 43 | 24.4 | 59 | 23.5 | 102 | 23.9 | | |
| Total | 176 | 100.0 | 251 | 100.0 | 427 | 100.0 | | |

(Data source: Samples from the 2015 to 2019 AUA Annual Census)

TABLE 3-6

Do You Have A Dedicated Medical Assistant (MA) or Nurse (RN or LPN) to Support You When You See Patients?

| | | Physician Assistants and Nurse Practitioners | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------|--|-----------|------------|-------|---------|--|
| | Physician | Assistants | Nurse Pra | ctitioners | Total | | |
| Dedicated Support | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | |
| No | 27 | 34.2 | 36 | 33.0 | 63 | 33.5 | |
| Yes | 52 | 65.8 | 73 | 67.0 | 125 | 66.5 | |
| A Dedicated MA | 31 | 39.2 | 35 | 32.1 | 66 | 35.1 | |
| A Dedicated Nurse | 8 | 10.1 | 21 | 19.3 | 29 | 15.4 | |
| Both Dedicated MA and Nurse | 13 | 16.5 | 17 | 15.6 | 30 | 16.0 | |
| Total Applicable | 79 | 100.0 | 109 | 100.0 | 188 | 100.0 | |
| Not Applicable | 4 | | 7 | | 11 | | |
| Total | 83 | | 116 | | 199 | | |

TABLE 3-7 When Did You Start Having A Dedicated Medical Assistant (MA) or Nurse (RN or LPN) to Support You When You See Patients?

| support rou when rou s | | - | | | | | | |
|--|--|---------|-----------|---------------------|-------|---------|--|--|
| | Physician Assistants and Nurse Practitioners | | | | | | | |
| | Physician Assistants | | Nurse Pra | Nurse Practitioners | | tal | | |
| Time Frame | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | | |
| At the Beginning of Employment | 36 | 69.2 | 37 | 59.7 | 73 | 58.4 | | |
| Shortly after the Beginning of Employment | 7 | 13.5 | 18 | 24.7 | 25 | 20.0 | | |
| A While after the Beginning of Employment | 6 | 11.5 | 17 | 23.3 | 23 | 18.4 | | |
| Upon Request Only | 3 | 5.8 | 1 | 1.4 | 4 | 3.2 | | |
| Total | 52 | 100.0 | 73 | 100.0 | 125 | 100.0 | | |

(Data source: Samples from the 2019 AUA Annual Census)

Results presented are from those who receive support from a dedicated medical assistant or nurse.

TABLE 3-8Number of Office Locations

| | Physician Assistants and Nurse Practitioners | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--|---------|---------------------|---------|-------|---------|--|
| | Physician Assistants | | Nurse Practitioners | | Total | | |
| Number of Office Locations | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | |
| 1 | 57 | 32.4 | 86 | 34.3 | 143 | 33.5 | |
| 2-3 | 59 | 33.5 | 94 | 37.5 | 153 | 35.8 | |
| ≥ 4 | 60 | 34.1 | 71 | 28.3 | 131 | 30.7 | |
| Total | 176 | 100.0 | 251 | 100.0 | 427 | 100.0 | |

Section 4: Workload

TABLE 4-1

Number of Patient Visits per Week

| | Physician Assistants and Nurse Practitioners | | | | | | |
|---|--|---------|---------------------|---------|-------|---------|--|
| | Physician Assistants | | Nurse Practitioners | | Total | | |
| Number of Patient Visits | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | |
| ≤ 40 | 47 | 26.7 | 62 | 24.7 | 109 | 25.5 | |
| 41-80 | 73 | 41.5 | 120 | 47.8 | 193 | 45.2 | |
| ≥ 81 | 56 | 31.8 | 69 | 27.5 | 125 | 29.3 | |
| Total | 176 | 100.0 | 251 | 100.0 | 427 | 100.0 | |
| Median Number of Patient Visits per Week | 60 | | 60 | | 60 | | |

(Data source: Samples from the 2015 to 2019 AUA Annual Census)

TABLE 4-2 Number of Hours Spent on Clinical Activities per Week

| | Physician Assistants and Nurse Practitioners | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---------|---------------------|---------|-------|---------|--|--|
| | Physician Assistants | | Nurse Practitioners | | Total | | | |
| Hours on Clinical Activities | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | | |
| ≤ 34 | 48 | 27.3 | 79 | 31.5 | 127 | 29.7 | | |
| 35-40 | 76 | 43.2 | 113 | 45.0 | 189 | 44.3 | | |
| ≥ 41 | 52 | 29.5 | 59 | 23.5 | 111 | 26.0 | | |
| Total | 176 | 100.0 | 251 | 100.0 | 427 | 100.0 | | |
| Median Number of Clinical Hours per Week | 40 | | 40 | | 40 | | | |

(Data source: Samples from the 2015 to 2019 AUA Annual Census)

TABLE 4-3

Number of Hours Spent on Non-Clinical Activities per Week

| | Physician Assistants and Nurse Practitioners | | | | | |
|---|--|---------|---------------------|---------|-------|---------|
| Hours on Non-Clinical | Physician Assistants | | Nurse Practitioners | | Total | |
| Activities | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| ≤ 2 | 63 | 35.8 | 83 | 33.1 | 146 | 34.2 |
| 3-9 | 63 | 35.8 | 88 | 35.1 | 151 | 35.4 |
| ≥ 10 | 50 | 28.4 | 80 | 31.9 | 130 | 30.4 |
| Total | 176 | 100.0 | 251 | 100.0 | 427 | 100.0 |
| Median Number of Non-Clinical Hours per Week | 5 | | 5 | | 5 | |

TABLE 4-4Number of Weeks of Vacation in the Previous Year

| | Physician Assistants and Nurse Practitioners | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|----------------------|-------|---------------------|-------|---------|--|--|
| | Physician | Physician Assistants | | Nurse Practitioners | | tal | | |
| Number of Weeks | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | | |
| ≤ 2 | 59 | 33.5 | 84 | 33.5 | 143 | 33.5 | | |
| 3-4 | 80 | 45.5 | 113 | 45.0 | 193 | 45.2 | | |
| ≥ 5 | 37 | 21.0 | 54 | 21.5 | 91 | 21.3 | | |
| Total | 176 | 100.0 | 251 | 100.0 | 427 | 100.0 | | |
| Median Number of Weeks of Vacation | 3 | | 3 | | 3 | | | |

(Data source: Samples from the 2015 to 2019 AUA Annual Census)

TABLE 4-5

Do You Take After-Hour Calls as an Employment Requirement?

| | Physician Assistants and Nurse Practitioners | | | | | | |
|------------|--|---------|---------------------|---------|-------|---------|--|
| | Physician Assistants | | Nurse Practitioners | | Total | | |
| Take Calls | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | |
| Yes | 25 | 30.1 | 28 | 24.1 | 53 | 26.6 | |
| No | 58 | 69.9 | 88 | 75.9 | 146 | 73.4 | |
| Total | 83 | 100.0 | 116 | 100.0 | 199 | 100.0 | |

Section 5: Clinical Duties and Responsibilities

TABLE 5-1

Routinely Performed Clinical Duties

| | Physician Assistants and Nurse Practitioners | | | | | | | |
|--|--|----------------------|-------|---------------------|-------|---------|--|--|
| | Physician | Physician Assistants | | Nurse Practitioners | | tal | | |
| Type of Service | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | | |
| See Office Patients | 156 | 88.6 | 233 | 92.8 | 389 | 91.1 | | |
| Make Hospital Rounds | 98 | 55.7 | 80 | 31.9 | 178 | 41.7 | | |
| Perform Pre-Operation Evaluation | 110 | 62.5 | 146 | 58.2 | 256 | 60.0 | | |
| Assist at Surgery | 69 | 39.2 | 38 | 15.1 | 107 | 25.1 | | |
| Do the Post-Operation Evaluation | 142 | 80.7 | 157 | 62.5 | 299 | 70.0 | | |
| Do Procedures in the Outpatient Setting | 116 | 65.9 | 130 | 51.8 | 246 | 57.6 | | |
| Take Biopsy or Cystoscopies | 48 | 27.3 | 40 | 15.9 | 88 | 20.6 | | |

(Data source: Samples from the 2015 to 2019 AUA Annual Census)

TABLE 5-2Percentage of Time Spent on Selected Areas

| | | Physiciar | n Assistants a | nd Nurse Prac | titioners | |
|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|
| | Physician Assistants | | Nurse Pra | ctitioners | Total | |
| Type of Service | Mean Percent | SD of Mean | Mean Percent | SD of Mean | Mean Percent | SD of Mean |
| Ambulatory Clinic | 64.6 | 2.9 | 75.4 | 2.0 | 71.0 | 1.7 |
| Inpatient | 15.1 | 2.4 | 9.7 | 1.6 | 11.9 | 1.4 |
| Procedures (including OR) | 12.4 | 1.7 | 7.2 | 1.0 | 9.3 | 0.9 |
| Non-Clinical Duties | 7.2 | 1.0 | 6.6 | 0.7 | 6.9 | 0.6 |
| Others | 0.7 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.3 |
| Total | 100.0 | | 100.0 | | 100.0 | |

(Data source: Samples from the 2016 to 2018 AUA Annual Census) SD=Standard Deviation

TABLE 5-3Level of Involvement in Performing Selected Clinical Procedures

| | Physician Assistants and Nurse Practitioners | | | | | | | |
|---|--|------------|------------------|---------|--------|---------|--|--|
| | Perform Ind | ependently | Assist Physician | | Not In | volved | | |
| Procedures | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | | |
| Aspirate hydrocele | 37 | 12.3 | 29 | 9.6 | 236 | 78.1 | | |
| Bladder instillation | 154 | 51.0 | 7 | 2.3 | 141 | 46.7 | | |
| Chemotherapy injections | 90 | 29.8 | 6 | 2.0 | 206 | 68.2 | | |
| Circumcision | 9 | 3.0 | 39 | 12.9 | 254 | 84.1 | | |
| Cystoscopy for diagnostic or cancer surveillance | 29 | 9.6 | 51 | 16.9 | 222 | 73.5 | | |
| Cystoscopy for difficult catheter placement | 49 | 16.2 | 58 | 19.2 | 195 | 64.6 | | |
| Cystoscopy for stent removal | 63 | 20.9 | 58 | 19.2 | 195 | 64.6 | | |
| Cystoscopy for bladder / prostate Botox injections | 7 | 2.3 | 38 | 12.6 | 257 | 85.1 | | |
| Cystoscopy for bladder biopsy | 9 | 3.0 | 38 | 12.6 | 257 | 85.1 | | |
| Intracavernosal injections for ED | 161 | 53.3 | 9 | 3.0 | 132 | 43.7 | | |
| LHRH Antagonist Insertion | 80 | 26.5 | 13 | 4.3 | 209 | 69.2 | | |
| Neuromodulation with Interstim programming | 74 | 24.5 | 24 | 7.9 | 204 | 67.5 | | |
| Pelvic floor muscle rehabilitation +/- biofeedback | 52 | 17.2 | 11 | 3.6 | 239 | 79.1 | | |
| Implant insertion (e.g., Testopel or Vantas) | 48 | 15.9 | 19 | 6.3 | 235 | 77.8 | | |
| Percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation | 115 | 38.1 | 8 | 2.5 | 179 | 59.3 | | |
| Priapism injection treatment | 67 | 22.2 | 27 | 8.9 | 208 | 68.9 | | |
| Transrectal Ultrasound without biopsy | 23 | 7.6 | 21 | 7.0 | 258 | 85.4 | | |
| Transrectal Ultrasound with biopsy | 20 | 6.6 | 25 | 8.3 | 257 | 85.1 | | |
| Ultrasound: Renal | 13 | 4.3 | 25 | 8.3 | 257 | 85.1 | | |
| Ultrasound: Scrotal | 11 | 3.6 | 8 | 2.6 | 283 | 93.7 | | |
| Ultrasound: Penile Doppler | 12 | 4.0 | 10 | 3.3 | 280 | 92.7 | | |
| Urodynamics (place catheters / perform test) | 83 | 27.5 | 16 | 5.3 | 203 | 67.2 | | |
| Urodynamics interpretation | 111 | 36.8 | 50 | 16.6 | 141 | 46.7 | | |
| Xiaflex injections | 28 | 9.3 | 21 | 7.0 | 253 | 83.8 | | |
| Vasectomy | 6 | 2.0 | 39 | 12.9 | 257 | 85.1 | | |

TABLE 5-4Level of Involvement in Performing Selected Clinical Procedures by Physician Assistants

| | Physician Assistants | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|---------|----------|------------------|-------|---------|--|--|
| | Perform Independently | | Assist P | Assist Physician | | volved | | |
| Procedures | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | | |
| Aspirate hydrocele | 23 | 18.5 | 15 | 12.5 | 85 | 69.4 | | |
| Bladder instillation | 64 | 51.6 | 3 | 2.4 | 57 | 46.0 | | |
| Chemotherapy injections | 39 | 31.5 | 1 | 0.8 | 84 | 67.7 | | |
| Circumcision | 4 | 3.2 | 22 | 17.7 | 98 | 79.0 | | |
| Cystoscopy for diagnostic or cancer surveillance | 18 | 14.5 | 18 | 14.5 | 88 | 71.0 | | |
| Cystoscopy for difficult catheter placement | 34 | 27.4 | 23 | 18.5 | 67 | 54.0 | | |
| Cystoscopy for stent removal | 39 | 31.5 | 16 | 12.9 | 69 | 55.6 | | |
| Cystoscopy for bladder / prostate Botox injections | 5 | 4.0 | 14 | 11.3 | 105 | 84.7 | | |
| Cystoscopy for bladder biopsy | 6 | 4.8 | 19 | 15.3 | 99 | 79.8 | | |
| Intracavernosal injections for ED | 71 | 57.3 | 4 | 3.2 | 49 | 39.5 | | |
| LHRH Antagonist Insertion | 38 | 30.6 | 10 | 8.1 | 76 | 61.3 | | |
| Neuromodulation with Interstim programming | 27 | 21.8 | 15 | 12.1 | 82 | 66.1 | | |
| Pelvic floor muscle rehabilitation +/- biofeedback | 8 | 6.5 | 6 | 4.8 | 110 | 88.7 | | |
| Implant insertion (e.g., Testopel or Vantas) | 28 | 22.6 | 10 | 8.1 | 86 | 69.4 | | |
| Percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation | 45 | 36.3 | 3 | 2.4 | 76 | 61.3 | | |
| Priapism injection treatment | 35 | 28.2 | 10 | 8.1 | 79 | 63.7 | | |
| Transrectal Ultrasound without biopsy | 15 | 12.1 | 6 | 4.8 | 103 | 83.1 | | |
| Transrectal Ultrasound with biopsy | 10 | 8.1 | 8 | 6.5 | 106 | 85.5 | | |
| Ultrasound: Renal | 5 | 4.0 | 3 | 2.4 | 116 | 93.5 | | |
| Ultrasound: Scrotal | 6 | 4.8 | 4 | 3.2 | 114 | 91.9 | | |
| Ultrasound: Penile Doppler | 6 | 4.8 | 3 | 2.4 | 115 | 92.7 | | |
| Urodynamics (place catheters / perform test) | 24 | 19.4 | 7 | 5.6 | 93 | 75.0 | | |
| Urodynamics interpretation | 48 | 38.7 | 19 | 15.3 | 57 | 46.0 | | |
| Xiaflex injections | 14 | 11.3 | 4 | 3.2 | 106 | 85.5 | | |
| Vasectomy | 5 | 4.0 | 15 | 12.1 | 104 | 83.9 | | |

TABLE 5-5Level of Involvement in Performing Selected Clinical Procedures by Nurse Practitioners

| | Nurse Practitioners | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|-------------|------------------|---------|--------|---------|--|--|
| | Perform Ind | lependently | Assist Physician | | Not In | volved | | |
| Procedures | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | | |
| Aspirate hydrocele | 14 | 7.9 | 14 | 7.9 | 150 | 84.3 | | |
| Bladder instillation | 90 | 50.6 | 4 | 2.2 | 84 | 47.2 | | |
| Chemotherapy injections | 51 | 28.7 | 5 | 2.8 | 122 | 68.5 | | |
| Circumcision | 5 | 2.8 | 17 | 9.6 | 156 | 87.6 | | |
| Cystoscopy for diagnostic or cancer surveillance | 11 | 6.2 | 33 | 18.5 | 134 | 75.3 | | |
| Cystoscopy for difficult catheter placement | 15 | 8.4 | 35 | 19.7 | 128 | 71.9 | | |
| Cystoscopy for stent removal | 24 | 13.5 | 31 | 17.4 | 123 | 69.1 | | |
| Cystoscopy for bladder / prostate Botox injections | 2 | 1.1 | 24 | 13.5 | 152 | 85.4 | | |
| Cystoscopy for bladder biopsy | 3 | 1.7 | 25 | 14.0 | 150 | 84.3 | | |
| Intracavernosal injections for ED | 90 | 50.6 | 5 | 2.8 | 83 | 46.6 | | |
| LHRH Antagonist Insertion | 42 | 23.6 | 3 | 1.7 | 133 | 74.7 | | |
| Neuromodulation with Interstim programming | 47 | 26.4 | 9 | 5.1 | 122 | 68.5 | | |
| Pelvic floor muscle rehabilitation +/- biofeedback | 44 | 24.7 | 5 | 2.8 | 129 | 72.5 | | |
| Implant insertion (e.g., Testopel or Vantas) | 20 | 11.2 | 9 | 5.1 | 149 | 83.7 | | |
| Percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation | 70 | 39.3 | 5 | 2.8 | 103 | 57.9 | | |
| Priapism injection treatment | 32 | 18.0 | 17 | 9.6 | 129 | 72.5 | | |
| Transrectal Ultrasound without biopsy | 8 | 4.5 | 15 | 8.4 | 155 | 87.1 | | |
| Transrectal Ultrasound with biopsy | 10 | 5.6 | 17 | 9.6 | 151 | 84.8 | | |
| Ultrasound: Renal | 8 | 4.5 | 6 | 3.4 | 164 | 92.1 | | |
| Ultrasound: Scrotal | 5 | 2.8 | 4 | 2.2 | 169 | 94.9 | | |
| Ultrasound: Penile Doppler | 6 | 3.4 | 7 | 3.9 | 165 | 92.7 | | |
| Urodynamics (place catheters / perform test) | 59 | 33.1 | 9 | 5.1 | 110 | 61.8 | | |
| Urodynamics interpretation | 63 | 35.4 | 31 | 17.4 | 84 | 47.2 | | |
| Xiaflex injections | 14 | 7.9 | 17 | 9.6 | 147 | 82.6 | | |
| Vasectomy | 1 | 0.6 | 24 | 13.5 | 153 | 86.0 | | |

Section 6: Compensation and Productivity

TABLE 6-1

Method of Compensation

| | | Physiciar | n Assistants a | nd Nurse Prac | titioners | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|-----------|---------------------|---------------|-----------|---------|
| | Physician Assistants | | Nurse Practitioners | | Total | |
| Method of Compensation | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| Straight Salary | 44 | 53.0 | 50 | 43.1 | 94 | 47.2 |
| Base Salary plus Education Funds | 27 | 32.5 | 43 | 37.1 | 70 | 35.2 |
| Base Salary plus RVU-based Bonus | 12 | 14.5 | 16 | 13.8 | 28 | 14.1 |
| Other | 0 | 0.0 | 7 | 6.0 | 7 | 3.5 |
| Total | 83 | 100.0 | 116 | 100.0 | 199 | 100.0 |

(Data source: Samples from the 2019 AUA Annual Census)

TABLE 6-2Compensation Level in 2018

| | Physician Assistants and Nurse Practitioners | | | | | |
|---------------------|--|------------|-----------|------------|-------|---------|
| | Physician | Assistants | Nurse Pra | ctitioners | То | tal |
| Compensation Level | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| ≤ \$85,000 | 2 | 2.5 | 5 | 4.5 | 7 | 3.7 |
| \$85,001-\$100,000 | 14 | 17.7 | 29 | 26.4 | 43 | 22.7 |
| \$100,001-\$115,000 | 24 | 30.4 | 40 | 36.4 | 64 | 33.9 |
| \$115,001-\$130,000 | 22 | 27.9 | 18 | 16.4 | 40 | 21.2 |
| > \$130,000 | 17 | 21.5 | 18 | 16.4 | 35 | 18.5 |
| Total Reported | 79 | 100.0 | 110 | 100.0 | 189 | 100.0 |
| Not Reported | 4 | | 6 | | 10 | |
| Total | 83 | | 116 | | 199 | |

TABLE 6-3Does Your Practice Track Individual Productivity?

| | Physician Assistants and Nurse Practitioners | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|----------------------|-------|---------------------|-------|---------|--|
| | Physician | Physician Assistants | | Nurse Practitioners | | tal | |
| Track Individual Productivity | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | |
| Yes | 62 | 83.8 | 100 | 91.7 | 162 | 88.5 | |
| No | 12 | 16.2 | 9 | 8.3 | 21 | 11.5 | |
| Total Reported | 74 | 100.0 | 109 | 100.0 | 183 | 100.0 | |
| Not Reported | 9 | | 7 | | 16 | | |
| Total | 83 | | 116 | | 199 | | |

(Data source: Samples from the 2019 AUA Annual Census)

TABLE 6-4

If Your Practice Tracks Individual Productivity, How Often Do You Receive Your Productivity Reports?

| | Physician Assistants and Nurse Practitioners | | | | | | |
|---|--|---------|-----------|---------------------|-------|---------|--|
| Frequency of Receiving | Physician Assistants | | Nurse Pra | Nurse Practitioners | | Total | |
| Productivity Reports | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | |
| I Do Not Receive Reports | 25 | 40.3 | 28 | 28.0 | 53 | 32.7 | |
| I Receive Reports Monthly | 20 | 32.3 | 34 | 34.0 | 54 | 33.3 | |
| I Receive Reports Quarterly | 12 | 19.4 | 23 | 23.0 | 35 | 21.6 | |
| l Receive Reports Annually or Semiannually | 3 | 4.8 | 12 | 12.0 | 15 | 9.2 | |
| l Do Not Know | 2 | 3.2 | 3 | 3.0 | 5 | 3.1 | |
| Total | 62 | 100.0 | 100 | 100.0 | 162 | 100.0 | |

(Data source: Samples from the 2019 AUA Annual Census) Results presented are from those who reported their practice tracks individual productivity.

TABLE 6-5

Are You Interested in Receiving Your Individual Productivity Reports?

| | Physician Assistants and Nurse Practitioners | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--|------------|---------------------|---------|-------|---------|
| Interested in Receiving | Physician | Assistants | Nurse Practitioners | | Total | |
| Productivity Reports | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| Yes | 55 | 88.7 | 88 | 88.0 | 143 | 88.3 |
| No | 4 | 6.5 | 7 | 7.0 | 11 | 6.8 |
| l Do Not Know | 3 | 4.8 | 5 | 5.0 | 8 | 4.9 |
| Total | 62 | 100.0 | 100 | 100.0 | 162 | 100.0 |

(Data source: Samples from the 2019 AUA Annual Census) Results presented are from those who reported their practice tracks individual productivity.

Section 7: Professional Burnout

TABLE 7-1 Emotional Exhaustion

| | Physician Assistants and Nurse Practitioners | | | | | | |
|--------------------|--|------------|---------------------|---------|-------|---------|--|
| Level of Emotional | Physician | Assistants | Nurse Practitioners | | Total | | |
| Exhaustion | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | |
| Low | 56 | 67.5 | 76 | 65.5 | 132 | 66.3 | |
| Moderate | 17 | 20.5 | 24 | 20.7 | 41 | 20.6 | |
| High | 10 | 12.0 | 16 | 13.8 | 26 | 13.1 | |
| Total | 83 | 100.0 | 116 | 100.0 | 199 | 100.0 | |

(Data source: Samples from the 2019 AUA Annual Census)

TABLE 7-2 Depersonalization

| | Physician Assistants and Nurse Practitioners | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--|---------|---------------------|---------|-------|---------|--|
| | Physician Assistants | | Nurse Practitioners | | Total | | |
| Level of Depersonalization | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | |
| Low | 39 | 47.0 | 61 | 52.6 | 100 | 50.3 | |
| Moderate | 24 | 28.9 | 29 | 25.0 | 53 | 26.6 | |
| High | 20 | 24.1 | 26 | 22.4 | 46 | 23.1 | |
| Total | 83 | 100.0 | 116 | 100.0 | 199 | 100.0 | |

(Data source: Samples from the 2019 AUA Annual Census)

TABLE 7-3 Personal Achievement

| | Physician Assistants and Nurse Practitioners | | | | | | |
|-------------------|--|---------|---------------------|---------|-------|---------|--|
| Level of Personal | Physician Assistants | | Nurse Practitioners | | Total | | |
| Achievement | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | |
| Low | 67 | 80.7 | 93 | 80.2 | 160 | 80.4 | |
| Moderate | 13 | 15.7 | 13 | 11.2 | 26 | 13.1 | |
| High | 3 | 3.6 | 10 | 8.6 | 13 | 6.5 | |
| Total | 83 | 100.0 | 116 | 100.0 | 199 | 100.0 | |

TABLE 7-4 Overall Burnout Rate^

| | Physician Assistants and Nurse Practitioners | | | | | |
|---------|--|---------|---------------------|---------|-------|---------|
| | Physician Assistants N | | Nurse Practitioners | | Total | |
| Burnout | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| Burnout | 21 | 25.3 | 31 | 26.7 | 52 | 26.1 |
| Total | 83 | 100.0 | 116 | 100.0 | 199 | 100.0 |

(Data source: Samples from the 2019 AUA Annual Census)

^Overall professional burnout is defined as high if high in either emotional exhaustion or depersonalization

TABLE 7-5Burnout Rate by Age

| Age | Advanced Practice Providers | Count of Burnout | Percent of Burnout |
|-------|--------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| < 35 | 49 | 14 | 28.6 |
| 35-44 | 62 | 15 | 24.2 |
| 45-54 | 38 | 13 | 34.2 |
| ≥ 55 | 50 | 10 | 20.0 |
| Total | 199 | 52 | 26.1 |

(Data source: Samples from the 2019 AUA Annual Census)

TABLE 7-6Burnout Rate by Gender

| Gender | Advanced Practice Providers | Count of Burnout | Percent of Burnout |
|--------|--------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| Female | 162 | 48 | 29.6 |
| Male | 37 | 4 | 10.8 |
| Total | 199 | 52 | 26.1 |

(Data source: Samples from the 2019 AUA Annual Census)

In the gender comparison of professional burnout, p value < 0.05.

TABLE 7-7Burnout Rate by Race

| Race | Advanced Practice Providers | Count of Burnout | Percent of Burnout | |
|-----------|--------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|--|
| White | 169 | 42 | 24.9 | |
| Non-White | 20 | 10 | 33.3 | |
| Total | 199 | 52 | 26.1 | |

TABLE 7-8Burnout Rate by Hispanic Ethnicity

| Ethnicity | Advanced Practice Providers | Count of Burnout | Percent of Burnout |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| Hispanic | 18 | 4 | 22.2 |
| Non-Hispanic | 178 | 46 | 25.8 |
| Total Known Ethnicity | 196 | 50 | 25.5 |
| Ethnicity Unknown | 3 | | |
| Total | 199 | | |

(Data source: Samples from the 2019 AUA Annual Census)

TABLE 7-9

Burnout Rate by Number of Years Practicing in Urology

| Number of Years of Practice in Urology | Advanced Practice Providers | Count of Burnout | Percent of Burnout |
|---|--------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| ≤ 3 | 62 | 14 | 22.6 |
| 4-9 | 68 | 22 | 32.4 |
| ≥ 10 | 69 | 16 | 23.2 |
| Total | 199 | 52 | 26.1 |

(Data source: Samples from the 2019 AUA Annual Census)

TABLE 7-10

Burnout Rate by Metropolitan Status

| Metropolitan Level | Advanced Practice Providers | Count of Burnout | Percent of Burnout |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| Metropolitan Areas | 179 | 47 | 26.3 |
| Non-Metropolitan Areas | 20 | 5 | 25.0 |
| Total | 199 | 52 | 26.1 |

TABLE 7-11Burnout Rate by AUA Section

| AUA Section | Advanced Practice Providers | Count of Burnout | Percent of Burnout |
|--|--------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| Northeastern, New England, New York and Mid-Atlantic | 59 | 11 | 18.6 |
| North Central | 41 | 12 | 29.3 |
| South Central | 26 | 10 | 38.5 |
| Southeastern | 41 | 11 | 26.8 |
| Western | 32 | 8 | 25.0 |
| Total | 199 | 52 | 26.1 |

Discussion

Findings from this study demonstrate the significant demographic and professional characteristics of advanced practice providers and the pivotal role they play in urologic care as part of a physician-led collaborative care medical team in the United States. The collaboration with physician assistants and nurse practitioners benefits patients' access to urologic care, frees urologists to concentrate on the more complex cases that urologists are trained to handle, and provide quality care to patients through a more cost-effective team approach.

Contributors

The AUA Data Programs are under the direction of the AUA Data Committee. This report is a project of the AUA Department of Data Management and Statistical Services.

PHYSICIAN AND APP ADVISORS:

Tricia Zubert, APRN, CNP – AUA Advanced Practice Provider Committee Chair Daniel Vetrosky, PA-C, PhD - AUA Advanced Practice Providers Education Committee Chair Danil V. Makarov, MD, MHS – AUA Data Committee Chair David F. Penson, MD, MHP – AUA Science and Quality Council Chair Eugene Rhee, MD – Public Policy Council Chair

PROJECT ADVISOR:

Marybeth Farquhar, PhD, MSN, RN – Executive Vice President, Research, Quality and Scientific Affairs/Chief Science Officer, Project Advisor

PROJECT TEAM:

Raymond Fang, MSc, MASc - Data Director, Principal Investigator William Meeks, III, MA – Data Operations Manager, Survey Programming and Statistical Analysis Rachel Mbassa, MPH – Statistician/Data Research Manager, Data Analysis Roxann Nottingham – Communication and Outreach Coordinator, Writing and Communication Keonna Feaster Confesor, MSc – Data Program Analyst (Coordinator), Project Support

KEY STAFF COLLABORATORS:

Diane Bieri, JD - Vice President and General Counsel Kathleen Shanley, PhD - Executive Vice President for Public Policy and Advocacy Patricia Banks - Executive Vice President for Marketing, Communications, Publications & Member Engagement Christine Frey - Senior Corporate Communications Manager Jessica Kessler - Marketing Coordinator Camille Chilcoat – Public Policy & Advocacy Project Coordinator Margaret Cellucci - Member Services Engagement Manager Brooke Murphy – Marketing and Communications Division Project Coordinator

AUA Statistical Services Program

The AUA Data Management and Statistical Analysis Department, under the direction of the AUA Data Committee, is committed to transforming urologic care through the meaningful collection and use of data.

To support urologic research, the Department offers a comprehensive statistical consulting services program that includes, but is not limited to: study design, data collection and linkage, data analysis and statistical modeling and support of the development of conference abstracts, presentation slides and manuscripts. Services are fee-based, and members receive discounted rates as part of the AUA's member benefits. The AUA ensures satisfaction with current best practices: prompt turnaround times, state-of-the-art methods, expertise in clinical and health services research and publication.

Additionally, the Department operates four other data initiatives: AUA Annual Census, AQUA Registry, Urologic Data Repository, Knowledge Generation and Dissemination. Each Data program generates products and services that focus on innovation, member value and policy impact.

Should you require data or statistical support, please contact the AUA Data Management and Statistical Analysis Department at dataservices@auanet.org.

AUA Statistical Services

AUA provides members with full statistical services:

- Study design
- Data collection
- Data analysis
- Data reporting
- Data presentation



American Urological Association Contact AUA at dataservices@auanet.org or visit AUAnet.org/Statistics